IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v. Case No. 12-06001-01/02/07-09-CR-W-GAF

DEBORAH J FLORES (01) SHERRI E. GUTIERREZ (02) BRENDA DE LA CRUZ (07) MARTIN ALEJANDRO LLANAS-RODRIGUEZ (08) JULIO CESAR LLANAS-RODRIGUEZ (09)

AUSA: Jess Michaelsen and Kate Mahoney
Defense Atty. (01): Lisa Nouri, CJA

Defense Atty. (02): Nick Seacord, CJA

Defense Atty. (07): Jennifer Welbert on behalf of Melanie Morgan, CJA Defense Atty. (08): John Reed for David Kelly, CJA

Defense Atty.(09): Ron Partee, CJA

JUDGE	Sarah W. Hays United States Magistrate Judge	DATE AND TIME	October 4, 2012 10:30-10:50 AM
DEPUTY CLERK	Lori Carr	TAPE/REPORTER	FTR/lac
INTERPRETER	Marcela Renna	Pretrial/Prob:	None

CLERK'S MINUTES

ARRAIGNMENT - SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT

- (x) Defendant FLORES (01) charged in counts 1, 4-6, 9, 10-14,18, 21-24, 27, 30-32, 37, 40-42 of a 45 Count superseding indictment
- (x) Defendant GUTIERREZ (02) charged in counts 1, 2, 3, 8, 16, 34, 35, 44 of a 45 Count superseding indictment
- (x) Defendant BRENDA DE LA CRUZ (07) charged in counts 1, 9, 10, 12, 21, 22, 30, 31,) 40, 41 of a 45 Count superseding indictment. Defendant not present; waiver of appearance filed.
- (x) Defendant M. LLANAS-RODRIGUEZ (08) charged in counts 1, 13, 14, 19, 20, 23, 28, 29, 42, 43 of a 45 Count superseding indictment
- (x) Defendant J. LLANAS-RODRIGUEZ (09) charged in counts 1, 11, 13-15, 23-25, 32, 33, 42, 43 of a 45 Count superseding indictment
- (x) The count(s) of the superseding indictment applicable to each defendant was summarized by the government.
- (x) Defendants waived reading of the original charge and penalty as it relates to the original indictment.
- (x) Defendants informed of the maximum punishment for each applicable count of the

- superseding indictment.
- (x) Each defendant entered a plea of not guilty to each count of the superseding indictment applicable to him/her.

ORDERS

- (x) All defendants ordered released on a continuing bail bond.
- (x) Case ordered set for trial on the joint criminal jury trial docket which commences he November 26, 2012. The parties should advise the court timely if additional time will be needed to prepare for trial. To the extent they can not then a motion to continue or a motion to sever should be filed.
- (x) Counsel provides their position as it relates to each defendant regarding the November trial setting, with the understanding the parties are not bound by it:
 - (x) Defendant FLORES (01) has a COP set for October 18, 2012.
 - (x) Defendant GUTIERREZ (02) is prepared to proceed to trial; however, would not oppose a continuance.
 - (x) Defendant DE LA CRUZ (07) is prepared to proceed to trial in November.
 - (x) Defendant M. LLANAS-RODRIGUEZ (08) is prepared to proceed to trial in November; however, a plea is anticipated and defendant would not oppose a continuance.
 - (x) Defendant J. LLANAS-RODRIGUEZ (09) is prepared to proceed to trial in November; however, a plea is anticipated and defendant would not oppose a continuance.