

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.
Stacey Marie Walker (03)
Robert Bruce Jameson (06)
Kristi L Stephenson (07)
Blake William Folsom (08)

Case No. 09-157-CR-W-SOW

AUSA: Bruce Rhoades
Defense Atty.: dft 3: P.J. O'Connor, dft 6: Ron Hall,
dft 7: Kelly McCambridge-Parker, dft 8: John Osgood

JUDGE	Sarah W. Hays United States Magistrate Judge	DATE AND TIME	May 26, 2009 12:11- 12:41 pm
DEPUTY CLERK	Melanie Beard	TAPE/REPORTER	FTR- M. Beard
INTERPRETER	None	PRETRIAL/PROB:	Tim Hair Emil Van Hecke Penney Hodges

CLERK'S MINUTES

DETENTION/ARRAIGNMENT

DETENTION HEARING: Parties appear in person and with counsel ready to proceed on government's motion for pretrial detention.

- (x) Parties stipulate to factual contents of Pretrial Services Report as being the direct testimony of Pretrial Services Officer Tim Hair Emil Van Hecke and Penney Hodges.
- (x) With exception(s): The Government presents one error in the Pretrial Services Officer Report, as to defendant Robert Jameson, that the agent listed on the last page of the report should be Agent Shawn Buck instead Agent Tony Smith, which is listed.
- (x) Government presents evidence and calls witness(es) Government proffers the written testimony of Agent Bill Smith and all defense counsel stipulate to the proffer. Government calls DEA Special Agent Robert Taylor. Direct examination conducted. Cross examination conducted by counsel: Kelly McCambridge-Parker. Government calls DEA Special Agent Shawn Buck and direct examination conducted. No further evidence presented.
- (x) Defendant presents evidence and calls witness(es) Attorney Osgood proffers the testimony of Mrs. Folsom, mother of defendant Blake Folsom and Mr. Folsom, the father of Blake Folsom (06). The Government accepts and stipulates to both proffers, as to dft

Blake Folsom. No further evidence is presented

- Neither party presents evidence.
- Arguments presented by the Government, defense counsel: Osgood, Hall, McCambridge-Parker and O'Connor.
- Defendant makes a proffer.
- Court takes judicial notice of the statutory presumption against release.
- Other: The Court is taking the detention matters under advisement as to defendants: Stephenson, Jameson and Folsom. The Court will advise counsel of a ruling later today

- Defendants, Stephenson, Jameson and Folsom are remanded to the custody of the U.S. Marshal.
- The Government orally moves to withdraw detention motion - GRANTED by the Court.

Based upon the information presented, the Court grants government's motion to detain and finds the following:

- The Court found reason to believe that no condition or combination of conditions of release would reasonably assure:
 - The appearance of the defendant
 - The safety of any other person or persons and the community.Defendant ordered DETAINED without bail.
- Written Detention Order to be forthcoming.
- Defendant remanded to the custody of the U.S. Marshal.
- The Court made a finding that the defendant, Stacey Walker (03), should be released from detention.
Government's motion for pretrial detention is denied.
 - Defendant released on a personal recognizance bond.
 - Defendant released on a \$ 25,000 unsecured surety bond.
 - Defendant released on a \$ _____ cash or security bond.
 - Defendant remanded to the custody of the U.S. Marshal until conditions are met
 - Defendant appears on a writ and is not eligible for bond at this time.

ARRAIGNMENT

- (x) Defendants Walker (03), Jameson (06) and Stephenson (07) are charged in Cts 1 and 2 of a 3 count indictment.
- (x) Defendant Folsom (08) is charged in Cts 1, 2 and 3 of a 3 Count Indictment.
- (x) Defendant waived reading of the indictment.
- (x) The count(s) of the indictment applicable to the defendant was read to the defendant.
- (x) Defendant was informed of the maximum punishment for each applicable count of the indictment.
- (x) Defendants: Walker (03), Jameson (06), Stephenson (07) and Folsom (08) entered a plea of not guilty to each count of the indictment applicable to him/her.

ORDERS

- (x) Defendants ordered committed back to custody of U.S. Marshal
- () Defendant ordered released on a continuing bail bond.
- (x) Case ordered set for trial on the joint criminal jury trial docket which commences July 20, 2009.

- (x) Scheduling Conference set for Wednesday, June 10, 2009 at 10:00 am, in Courtroom 6E.

- () Discovery Order handed to all counsel.

- () Defense shall file any pretrial motions within ten days from this date; government responses due seven days after motion filed. In lieu of a scheduling conference, counsel are to meet within two weeks and submit Proposed Stipulations and Orders to chambers for filing. If parties will not be ready for trial by the scheduled docket, a motion for continuance for a date certain should be filed as soon as possible.

- () All stipulations, motions, responses, Reports and Recommendations and orders apply to the superseding indictment.

- () The Government is reminded that ECF calculates deadlines under civil rules and those deadlines do not apply to this case. Failure to file a response within 7 days of the filing of the motion, regardless of when ECF says a response is due, may result in the motion being granted as unopposed.