

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
WESTERN DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	No. 11-00157-02-CR-W-HFS
)	
DANNY SANCHEZ,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

PLEA AGREEMENT

Pursuant to Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the parties described below have entered into the following plea agreement:

1. The Parties. The parties to this agreement are the United States Attorney’s Office for the Western District of Missouri (otherwise referred to as “the Government” or “the United States”), represented by David M. Ketchmark, Acting United States Attorney, and Charles E. Ambrose, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney, and the defendant, Danny Sanchez (“defendant”), represented by Alex McCauley.

The defendant understands and agrees that this plea agreement is only between the defendant and the United States Attorney for the Western District of Missouri, and that it does not bind any other federal, state, or local prosecution authority or any other government agency, unless otherwise specified in this agreement.

2. Defendant’s Guilty Plea. The defendant agrees to and hereby does plead guilty to Count One of the Indictment returned and filed on July 13, 2011, charging defendant with a violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 846 and 841(b)(1)(A), that is, conspiracy to distribute five-hundred (500) grams and more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of methamphetamine.

By entering into this plea agreement, the defendant admits that defendant knowingly committed this offenses, and is in fact guilty of this offense.

3. Factual Basis for Guilty Plea. The parties agree that the facts constituting the conduct underlying the offense to which defendant is pleading guilty include the following:

On April 14, 2011, JCDTF Detective Stephen Doogs, acting in an undercover role, and wearing a transmitting device, met with a confidential informant (CI) who introduced Doogs to "Pete" (later identified as Peter J. Lombardo) at a McDonalds on E. 87th Street in Kansas City, Missouri. Lombardo made statements during this meeting that he had a friend who had just "done a burn" (cooked meth), and that he had several "clients" purchasing meth both in and outside Kansas City. Doogs purchased a small bag of methamphetamine from Lombardo for \$500. Doogs asked Lombardo if he could supply ounces of meth. Lombardo said he could, agreed to sell meth to Doogs in the future, and said that the price would go down as the amounts went up. The meth purchased on this occasion weighed about 6.4 grams, and field-tested positive for methamphetamine. Other JCDTF officers monitored the transaction by listening to the broadcasts from the transmitter worn by Doogs. The transmissions were also recorded.

On April 21, 2011, Detective Doogs, again working in an undercover capacity and wearing a transmitter, met Lombardo at a Lowes home improvement store on Sterling in Kansas City, Missouri. Doogs saw that Lombardo was standing next to a white Ford F-150, extended cab pickup, and that a dark-skinned male wearing round-rim glasses (later identified as Tony Castro) was sitting in the driver's seat. The driver told Lombardo and Doogs to go to a nearby McDonalds to do the deal.

Lombardo then got into Doogs' undercover vehicle and they drove to the McDonalds a short distance east of the Lowes location. Lombardo gave Doogs a clear plastic bag containing methamphetamine, and Doogs paid Lombardo \$800. Lombardo told Doogs he had another 1/4 ounce of meth for sale at his residence. Doogs declined to purchase the extra meth, but agreed to buy more than one-half ounce the next time. Doogs then drove Lombardo back to the Ford pickup. The drugs purchased on this occasion weighed about 14.6 grams, and field tested positive for methamphetamine. The transmissions from the transmitter were monitored and recorded.

On May 5, 2011, Detective Doogs, again wearing a transmitter, met Lombardo at the Pick-n-Pull on E. Truman Road in Kansas City, Missouri. Lombardo arrived in a white Ford cargo van, riding in the passenger seat. Doogs paid Lombardo \$800 for a small bag of methamphetamine. The drugs purchased on this occasion weighed about 14.6 grams, and field tested positive for methamphetamine. The transmissions from the transmitter were monitored and recorded.

On May 11, 2011, Missouri State Trooper R.J. Chapman received information that drugs were being sold from a duplex cabin at the Lake Hills Motel in Warsaw, Missouri. Trooper Chapman, accompanied by Trooper Andy Ehlers, contacted two individuals parked in a silver Mazda 6 outside the cabin. The female passenger in the vehicle said that she was renting the

cabin. The other party in the vehicle was later identified as Peter J. Lombardo. The Troopers asked and received permission from the female to search the cabin. Both parties also consented to a search of their persons for weapons.

During the pat-down of Lombardo, Trooper Chapman found a revolver (a Charter Arms, .38 caliber revolver, Serial Number 1010513) wrapped in cloth in Lombardo's back pocket. Trooper Chapman also found two bags in a cargo pocket of Lombardo's shorts, and asked him if they contained meth. After hesitating, Lombardo said, "Yeah, fuck it, it is. Take me to jail."

Trooper Chapman placed Lombardo under arrest and then continued his pat down, locating \$706, and a digital scale in the left cargo pocket with the two bags of meth. Trooper Chapman also found a Kel-Tec 9mm semi-automatic pistol, Serial Number AFG 74, concealed in a holster and clipped to Lombardo's front waistband. The two bags of meth weighed about 47 grams in total, and field-tested positive for methamphetamine.

Detective Charles Black of the Mid-Missouri Drug Task Force interviewed Joseph Jagetz at the Benton County, Missouri, Sheriff's office on May 11, 2011, after Jagetz was found inside the duplex cabin where Lombardo had been arrested. Jagetz admitted to being a meth addict, and said that Lombardo had sold about four ounces of meth in the Warsaw area between May 5th and May 11th.

Detective Black then interviewed Lombardo, who waived his rights and admitted buying and selling meth in the Warsaw area. Lombardo also admitted having traded meth for the guns found on his person. Detective Black then contacted Detective Kesler of the JCDTF, who traveled to Benton County to continue the interrogation of Lombardo.

Lombardo again waived Miranda rights, and told Det. Kesler that he had been selling meth to hundreds of people for the past three months. Lombardo then stated that he had been selling ounces of meth to seven or eight people, and that he had been purchasing his meth from "Danny," whom he described as an Hispanic male, about 21 or 22 years of age. He described Danny's residence as an upstairs apartment off prospect in Kansas City, Missouri, where Danny lived with a white female named Ashley.

Lombardo said that he also bought meth from one of Danny's sources, an Hispanic male about 30 years old whom he knew as "Joker." Lombardo admitted buying meth in half-pound and one-pound quantities from "Joker," buying at least a half-pound per week for the last three months.

On May 19, 2011, Detective Doogs, again wearing a transmitter, went to the "U-Pick-It" on East 24 Highway in Kansas City, Missouri, where a confidential informant introduced him to "Danny" (later identified as Danny Sanchez). Sanchez and another party – recognized by Doogs as the driver of the Ford F-150 from a prior buy (Castro) – were in a silver Mazda. Sanchez, having Pull" on Truman Road, and then to a house on North White in Kansas City, Missouri. At this location, Doogs entered the back seat of the Mazda.

Inside the car, Sanchez told Doogs to be careful dealing with the CI. Sanchez mentioned that Lombardo was now locked up in Benton County, and that Sanchez had gotten stuck with

Lombardo's drug debts as a result.

Doogs then returned to his vehicle with Sanchez, who told him to follow another vehicle. At another location on North White, Sanchez took \$900 from Doogs and approached a young Hispanic male who had been driving a green Pontiac. Sanchez received a bag of meth from this individual, then returned to Doogs and told him to drive back to Sanchez' mother's home. En route, Sanchez told Doogs that he had received an ounce of meth, and needed to split it up so that he could give Doogs the half-ounce which he had wanted to purchase. When they arrived at his mother's home, Sanchez used Doogs' portable digital scale to weigh out 14 grams, which he provided to Doogs. The drugs purchased on this occasion weighed about 14.17 grams, and field tested positive for methamphetamine. The transmissions from the transmitter were monitored and recorded.

On May 20, 2011, Detective Doogs positively identified Lombardo and Sanchez from photo spreads.

On June 8, 2011, Detective Doogs, again working in an undercover capacity and wearing a transmitter, met with Sanchez on Jackson Avenue, a short distance north of Independence Avenue in Kansas City, Missouri. Sanchez entered Doogs' vehicle, and directed Doogs to pull into an alley and park in the rear of an address on Jackson Avenue. Det. Doogs saw the extended cab F-150 (from the second buy) parked behind the residence. Det. Doogs also recognized Tony Castro (also from the second buy) standing near the pickup.

Sanchez identified the house as the residence of Lombardo's girlfriend "April," and told Doogs that they were waiting on the arrival of Sanchez' new source, "Carl." While they were waiting, Sanchez made a call on his cellular telephone to "Carl." Sanchez then introduced Doogs to the dark-skinned male (Castro), and told Doogs that he (Castro) "goes everywhere the shit goes" so that nothing gets stolen.

After a white vehicle pulled up in front of the residence, Sanchez stated, "There's my boy," and took \$1400 in prerecorded funds from Doogs. He then introduced Doogs to two males who had arrived in the white vehicle, calling them "Josh" and "Carl." While passing a meth pipe around and smoking it with Sanchez and "Josh", "Carl" (later identified as Edmunds) said that his "guy" (supplier) was on the way. After speaking with this party on the phone, "Carl" left the residence, returning a short time later with two plastic bags. He showed them both to Doogs, and told Doogs to pick the "zip" (ounce) he wanted. Doogs picked one, which Sanchez then weighed on a scale. The drugs purchased on this occasion weighed about 28.6 grams, and field tested positive for methamphetamine. The transmissions from the transmitter were monitored and recorded.

On June 14, 2011, detective Doogs positively identified Carl E. Edmunds from a photo spread as "Carl."

On June 29, 2011, the JCDTF CI positively identified Lombardo, Sanchez, and Castro from photo spreads. The CI also admitted having purchased meth from Lombardo on seven or eight occasions over a four or five month period. He stated that Lombardo got meth from Sanchez, and that he had seen Lombardo and Sanchez exchange meth and money on several occasions. He

identified Castro as “Chewie,” Lombardo’s driver, and said that Lombardo often rode with Castro because he had a valid driver’s license. (Lombardo did not have a license because of several prior DWI offenses.)

On July 5, 2011, Detective Doogs, again working in an undercover capacity and wearing a transmitter, purchased approximately one ounce of methamphetamine from Danny Sanchez on East 23rd Street, Kansas City, Missouri. The drugs purchase on this occasion weighed about 28 grams, and field tested positive for methamphetamine. The transmissions from the transmitter were monitored and recorded.

4. Use of Factual Admissions. The defendant acknowledges, understands and agrees that the admissions contained in Paragraph 3 and other portions of this plea agreement will be used for the purpose of determining defendant’s guilt and advisory sentencing range under the United States Sentencing Guidelines (“U.S.S.G.”), including the calculation of the defendant’s offense level in accordance with U.S.S.G. § 1B1.3(a)(2). The defendant acknowledges, understands and agrees that the conduct charged in any dismissed counts of the indictment as well as all other uncharged related criminal activity may be considered as “relevant conduct” pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 1B1.3(a)(2) in calculating the offense level for the charge to which defendant is pleading guilty.

5. Statutory Penalties. The statutory penalties for Count One of the Indictment, as charged, are not less than ten years imprisonment, not more than life imprisonment, a fine of not more than \$10,000,000, not less than five years of supervised release, and a \$100 statutory assessment. The defendant further understands that this offense is a Class A felony.

6. Sentencing Procedures. The defendant acknowledges, understands and agrees to the following:

- a. in determining the appropriate sentence, the Court will consult and consider the United States Sentencing Guidelines promulgated by the United States Sentencing Commission; these Guidelines, however, are advisory in nature, and the

Court may impose a sentence either less than or greater than the defendant's applicable Guidelines range, unless the sentence imposed is "unreasonable";

b. the Court will determine the defendant's applicable Sentencing Guidelines range at the time of sentencing;

c. in addition to a sentence of imprisonment, the Court must impose a term of supervised release of not less than five years;

d. if the defendant violates a condition of supervised release, the Court may revoke supervised release and impose an additional period of imprisonment without credit for time previously spent on supervised release. In addition to a new term of imprisonment, the Court also may impose a new period of supervised release;

e. the Court may impose any sentence authorized by law, including a sentence that is outside of, or departs from, the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range;

f. any sentence of imprisonment imposed by the Court will not allow for parole;

g. the Court is not bound by any recommendation regarding the sentence to be imposed or by any calculation or estimation of the Sentencing Guidelines range offered by the parties or the United States Probation Office; and

h. the defendant may not withdraw defendant's guilty plea solely because of the nature or length of the sentence imposed by the Court.

7. Government's Agreements. Based upon evidence in its possession at this time, the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of Missouri, as part of this plea agreement,

agrees not to bring any additional charges against defendant for any federal criminal offenses related to the charged conspiracy, for which it has venue and which arose out of the defendant's conduct described above.

The defendant understands that this plea agreement does not foreclose any prosecution for an act of murder or attempted murder, an act or attempted act of physical or sexual violence against the person of another, or a conspiracy to commit any such acts of violence or any criminal activity of which the United States Attorney for the Western District of Missouri has no knowledge.

The defendant recognizes that the United States' agreement to forego prosecution of all of the criminal offenses with which the defendant might be charged is based solely on the promises made by the defendant in this agreement. If the defendant breaches this plea agreement, the United States retains the right to proceed with the original charges and any other criminal violations established by the evidence. The defendant expressly waives any right to challenge the initiation of the dismissed or additional charges against defendant if defendant breaches this agreement. The defendant expressly waives any right to assert a statute of limitations defense if the dismissed or additional charges are initiated against defendant following a breach of this agreement. The defendant further understands and agrees that if the Government elects to file additional charges against defendant following defendant's breach of this plea agreement, defendant will not be allowed to withdraw defendant's guilty plea.

8. Preparation of Presentence Report. The defendant understands the United States will provide to the Court and the United States Probation Office a government version of the offense conduct. This may include information concerning the background, character, and conduct of the defendant, including the entirety of defendant's criminal activities. The defendant understands these disclosures are not limited to the count to which defendant has pleaded guilty.

The United States may respond to comments made or positions taken by the defendant or the defendant's counsel and to correct any misstatements or inaccuracies. The United States further reserves its right to make any recommendations it deems appropriate regarding the disposition of this case, subject only to any limitations set forth in this plea agreement. The United States and the defendant expressly reserve the right to speak to the Court at the time of sentencing pursuant to Rule 32(i)(4) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

9. Withdrawal of Plea. Either party reserves the right to withdraw from this plea agreement for any or no reason at any time prior to the entry of the defendant's plea of guilty and its formal acceptance by the Court. In the event of such withdrawal, the parties will be restored to their pre-plea agreement positions to the fullest extent possible. However, after the plea has been formally accepted by the Court, the defendant may withdraw defendant's plea of guilty only if the Court rejects the plea agreement or if the defendant can show a fair and just reason for requesting the withdrawal. The defendant understands that if the Court accepts defendant's plea of guilty and this plea agreement but subsequently imposes a sentence that is outside the defendant's applicable Sentencing Guidelines range, or imposes a sentence that the defendant does not expect, like or agree with, defendant will not be permitted to withdraw defendant's plea of guilty.

10. Agreed Guidelines Applications. With respect to the application of the Sentencing Guidelines to this case, the parties stipulate and agree as follows:

a. The Sentencing Guidelines do not bind the Court and are advisory in nature. The Court may impose a sentence that is either above or below the defendant's applicable Guidelines range, provided the sentence imposed is not "unreasonable";

b. The applicable Guidelines section for the offense of conviction in Count One is U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1(c)(3), which provides for a base offense level of 32; ~~Count Six carries a mandatory consecutive sentence of sixty months;~~

c. The defendant has admitted defendant's guilt and clearly accepted responsibility for defendant's actions, and has assisted authorities in the investigation or prosecution of defendant's own misconduct by timely notifying authorities of defendant's intention to enter a plea of guilty, thereby permitting the Government to avoid preparing for trial and permitting the Government and the Court to allocate their resources efficiently. Therefore, defendant is entitled to a three-level reduction pursuant to § 3E1.1(b) of the Sentencing Guidelines. The Government, at the time of sentencing, will file a written motion with the Court to that effect, unless the defendant (1) fails to abide by all of the terms and conditions of this plea agreement and defendant's pretrial release; or (2) attempts to withdraw defendant's guilty plea[s], violates the law, or otherwise engages in conduct inconsistent with defendant's acceptance of responsibility (Level 29);

d. The parties agree that the Court will determine defendant's applicable criminal history category after receipt of the presentence investigation report prepared by the United States Probation Office;

e. The defendant understands that the estimate of the parties with respect to the Guidelines computation set forth in the subsections of this paragraph does not bind the Court or the United States Probation Office with respect to the appropriate Guidelines levels. Additionally, the failure of the Court to accept these stipulations will not, as outlined in Paragraph 9 of this plea agreement, provide the defendant with a basis to withdraw defendant's plea of guilty;

f. The United States agrees not to seek an upward departure from the Guidelines or a sentence outside the Guidelines range, and defendant agrees to not seek a downward departure from the Guidelines or a sentence outside the Guidelines range. The agreement by the parties to not seek a departure from the Guidelines is not binding upon the Court or the United States Probation Office and the Court may impose any sentence authorized by law, including any sentence outside the applicable Guidelines range that is not "unreasonable";

g. The defendant consents to judicial fact-finding by a preponderance of the evidence for all issues pertaining to the determination of the defendant's sentence, including the determination of any mandatory minimum sentence (including the facts that support any specific offense characteristic or other enhancement or adjustment), and any legally authorized increase above the normal statutory maximum. The defendant waives any right to a jury determination beyond a reasonable doubt of all facts used to determine and enhance the sentence imposed, and waives any right to have those facts alleged in the indictment. The defendant also agrees that the Court, in finding the facts relevant to the imposition of sentence, may consider any reliable information, including hearsay; and

h. The defendant understands and agrees that the factual admissions contained in this plea agreement, and any admissions that defendant will make

during defendant's plea colloquy, support the imposition of the agreed Guidelines calculations contained in this agreement.

11. Effect of Non-Agreement on Guidelines Applications. The parties understand, acknowledge and agree that there are no agreements between the parties with respect to any Sentencing Guidelines issues other than those specifically listed in Paragraph 10, and its subsections. As to any other Guidelines issues, the parties are free to advocate their respective positions at the sentencing hearing.

12. Change in Guidelines Prior to Sentencing. The defendant agrees that if any applicable provision of the Guidelines changes after the execution of this plea agreement, then any request by defendant to be sentenced pursuant to the new Guidelines will make this plea agreement voidable by the United States at its option. If the Government exercises its option to void the plea agreement, the United States may charge, reinstate, or otherwise pursue any and all criminal charges that could have been brought but for this plea agreement.

13. Government's Reservation of Rights. The defendant understands that the United States expressly reserves the right in this case to:

- a. oppose or take issue with any position advanced by defendant at the sentencing hearing which might be inconsistent with the provisions of this plea agreement;
- b. comment on the evidence supporting the charge in the Indictment;
- c. oppose any arguments and requests for relief the defendant might advance on an appeal from the sentences imposed and that the United States remains free on appeal or collateral proceedings to defend the legality and propriety of the sentence actually imposed, even if the Court chooses not to follow any recommendation made by the United States; and
- d. oppose any post-conviction motions for reduction of sentence, or other relief.

14. Waiver of Constitutional Rights. The defendant, by pleading guilty, acknowledges that defendant has been advised of, understands, and knowingly and voluntarily waives the following rights:

- a. the right to plead not guilty and to persist in a plea of not guilty;
- b. the right to be presumed innocent until defendant's guilt has been established beyond a reasonable doubt at trial;
- c. the right to a jury trial, and at that trial, the right to the effective assistance of counsel;
- d. the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses who testify against defendant;
- e. the right to compel or subpoena witnesses to appear on defendant's behalf; and
- f. the right to remain silent at trial, in which case defendant's silence may not be used against defendant.

The defendant understands that by pleading guilty, defendant waives or gives up those rights and that there will be no trial. The defendant further understands that if defendant pleads guilty, the Court may ask defendant questions about the offense or offenses to which defendant pleaded guilty, and if the defendant answers those questions under oath and in the presence of counsel, defendant's answers may later be used against defendant in a prosecution for perjury or making a false statement. The defendant also understands defendant has pleaded guilty to a felony offense and, as a result, will lose the right to possess a firearm or ammunition and might be deprived of other rights, such as the right to vote or register to vote, hold public office, or serve on a jury.

15. Waiver of Appellate and Post-Conviction Rights.

a. The defendant acknowledges, understands and agrees that by pleading guilty pursuant to this plea agreement defendant waives his right to appeal or collaterally attack a finding of guilt following the acceptance of this plea agreement, except on grounds of (1) ineffective assistance of counsel; (2) prosecutorial misconduct; or (3) failure of the court to allow withdrawal of the plea of guilty following rejection of the plea agreement.

b. The defendant expressly waives his right to appeal his sentence, directly or collaterally, on any ground except claims of (1) ineffective assistance of counsel; (2) prosecutorial misconduct; (3) failure of the court to allow withdrawal of the plea of guilty following rejection of the plea agreement, or (4) an illegal sentence, that is, a sentencing error more serious than a misapplication of the Sentencing Guidelines, an abuse of discretion, or the imposition of an unreasonable sentence. However, if the United States exercises its right to appeal the sentence imposed as authorized by 18 U.S.C. §3742(b), the defendant is released from this waiver and may, as part of the Government's appeal, cross-appeal the sentence as authorized by 18 U.S.C. §3742(a) with respect to any issues that have not been stipulated to or agreed upon in this agreement.

16. Financial Obligations.

By entering into this plea agreement, the defendant represents that he understands and agrees to the following financial obligations:

a. The Court may order restitution to the victims of the offense to which the defendant is pleading guilty. The defendant agrees that the Court may order restitution in connection with the conduct charged in any counts of the indictment which are to be dismissed and all other uncharged related criminal activity.

b. The United States may use the Federal Debt Collection Procedures Act and any other remedies provided by law to enforce any restitution order that may be entered as part of the sentence in this case and to collect any fine.

c. The defendant will fully and truthfully disclose all assets and property in which he has any interest, or over which the defendant exercises control directly or indirectly, including assets and property held by a spouse, nominee or other third party. The defendant's disclosure obligations are ongoing, and are in force from the execution of this agreement until the defendant has satisfied the restitution order in full.

d. Within 10 days of the execution of this plea agreement, at the request of the USAO, the defendant agrees to execute and submit (1) a Tax Information Authorization form; (2) an Authorization to Release Information; (3) a completed

financial disclosure statement; and (4) copies of financial information that the defendant submits to the U.S. Probation Office. The defendant understands that compliance with these requests will be taken into account when the United States makes a recommendation to the Court regarding the defendant's acceptance of responsibility.

e. At the request of the USAO, the defendant agrees to undergo any polygraph examination the United States might choose to administer concerning the identification and recovery of substitute assets and restitution.

f. The defendant hereby authorizes the USAO to obtain a credit report pertaining to him to assist the USAO in evaluating the defendant's ability to satisfy any financial obligations imposed as part of the sentence.

g. The defendant understands that a Special Assessment will be imposed as part of the sentence in this case. The defendant promises to pay the Special Assessment of \$100 by submitting a satisfactory form of payment to the Clerk of the Court prior to appearing for the sentencing proceeding in this case. The defendant agrees to provide the Clerk's receipt as evidence of his fulfillment of this obligation at the time of sentencing.

h. The defendant certifies that he has made no transfer of assets or property for the purpose of (1) evading financial obligations created by this Agreement; (2) evading obligations that may be imposed by the Court; nor (3) hindering efforts of the USAO to enforce such financial obligations. Moreover, the defendant promises that he will make no such transfers in the future.

i. In the event the United States learns of any misrepresentation in the financial disclosure statement, or of any asset in which the defendant had an interest at the time of this plea agreement that is not disclosed in the financial disclosure statement, and in the event such misrepresentation or nondisclosure changes the estimated net worth of the defendant by ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00) or more, the United States may at its option: (1) choose to be relieved of its obligations under this plea agreement; or (2) let the plea agreement stand, collect the full forfeiture, restitution, and fines imposed by any criminal or civil judgment, and also collect 100% (one hundred percent) of the value of any previously undisclosed assets. The defendant agrees not to contest any collection of such assets. In the event the United States opts to be relieved of its obligations under this plea agreement, the defendant's previously entered pleas of guilty shall remain in effect and cannot be withdrawn.

17. Waiver of FOIA Request. The defendant waives all rights, whether asserted directly or by a representative, to request or receive from any department or agency of the United States any records pertaining to the investigation or prosecution of this case including,

without limitation, any records that may be sought under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, or the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. § 552a.

18. Waiver of Claim for Attorney's Fees. The defendant waives all claims under the Hyde Amendment, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A, for attorney's fees and other litigation expenses arising out of the investigation or prosecution of this matter.

19. Defendant's Breach of Plea Agreement. If the defendant commits any crimes, violates any conditions of release, or violates any term of this plea agreement between the signing of this plea agreement and the date of sentencing, or fails to appear for sentencing, or if the defendant provides information to the Probation Office or the Court that is intentionally misleading, incomplete, or untruthful, or otherwise breaches this plea agreement, the United States will be released from its obligations under this agreement. The defendant, however, will remain bound by the terms of the agreement, and will not be allowed to withdraw defendant's plea of guilty.

The defendant also understands and agrees that in the event defendant violates this plea agreement, all statements made by defendant to law enforcement agents subsequent to the execution of this plea agreement, any testimony given by defendant before a grand jury or any tribunal or any leads from such statements or testimony shall be admissible against defendant in any and all criminal proceedings. The defendant waives any rights under the United States Constitution, any statute, Rule 11(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, or any other federal rule that pertains to the admissibility of any statements made by defendant subsequent to this plea agreement.

20. Defendant's Representations. The defendant acknowledges that defendant has entered into this plea agreement freely and voluntarily after receiving the effective assistance,

advice and approval of counsel. The defendant acknowledges that defendant is satisfied with the assistance of counsel, and that counsel has fully advised defendant of defendant's rights and obligations in connection with this plea agreement. The defendant further acknowledges that no threats or promises, other than the promises contained in this plea agreement, have been made by the United States, the Court, defendant's attorneys or any other party to induce defendant to enter defendant's plea of guilty.

21. No Undisclosed Terms. The United States and defendant acknowledge and agree that the above-stated terms and conditions, together with any written supplemental agreement that might be presented to the Court in camera, constitute the entire plea agreement between the parties, and that any other terms and conditions not expressly set forth in this agreement or any written supplemental agreement do not constitute any part of the parties' agreement and will not be enforceable against either party.

22. Government Will Move to Remand Defendant Into Custody At The Plea. The defendant understand that the crime to which he is pleading, that is, conspiracy to distribute five-hundred (500) grams or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of methamphetamine, is an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act. Accordingly, the government believes that pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(2), the Court must detain the defendant after he pleads guilty to the offense and will so move at the conclusion of the hearing.

23. Standard of Interpretation. The parties agree that the constitutional implications inherent in plea agreements shall determined the interpretation and nature of the terms of this agreement.

David M. Ketchmark
Acting United States Attorney

04/18/2012
Dated: _____

/s/ Charles E. Ambrose, Jr.

Charles E. Ambrose, Jr.
Assistant United States Attorney

I have consulted with my attorney and fully understand all of my rights with respect to the offense charged in the Indictment. Further, I have consulted with my attorney and fully understand my rights with respect to the provisions of the Sentencing Guidelines. I have read this plea agreement and carefully reviewed every part of it with my attorney. I understand this plea agreement and I voluntarily agree to it.

04/18/2012
Dated: _____

/s/ Danny Sanchez

Danny Sanchez
Defendant

I am defendant Danny Sanchez's attorney. I have fully explained to defendant his rights with respect to the offense charged in the Indictment. I have reviewed with defendant the provisions of the Sentencing Guidelines which might apply in this case. I have carefully reviewed every part of this plea agreement with defendant. To my knowledge, defendant's decision to enter into this plea agreement is an informed and voluntary one.

04/18/2012
Dated: _____

/s/ Alex S. McCauley

Alex S. McCauley
Attorney for Defendant