IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI CENTRAL DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
Plaintiff,)	
V.)	No. 10-4032-01/03-CR-C-NKL
ADAM LOUIE CORONADO, ANTHONY CHRISTOPHER CORONADO, KEVIN RAY HUNTER,)))	
Defendants.)	

ORDER

On July 14, 2010, the Grand Jury returned a two-count indictment charging defendants Adam Louie Coronado, Anthony Christopher Coronado and Kevin Ray Hunter in Count One with violation of 21 U.S.C. § 846, and charging defendants Coronado in Count Two with violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1). The indictment also contains a forfeiture allegation in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 853.

Defendants Anthony Christopher Coronado and Kevin Ray Hunter have filed motions for continuance in the above-captioned case. Defendants request a continuance of the February 14, 2011 trial setting.¹ Defendants state the United States Attorney has indicated the Government has no objection to the granting of the requested continuance.

In any case in which a plea of not guilty is entered, the defendant's trial shall commence within seventy days from the filing of the information or indictment or the date of the defendant's first appearance, whichever comes last. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(c)(1) (Speedy Trial Act). However, in computing the time within which trial of any offense must commence, any period of delay which results from a continuance granted by a judge at the request of the defendant or his or her counsel, which serves the end of justice, shall be excluded from computing the time. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). The Speedy Trial Act requires that the court set forth its reasons for finding

¹Defendant Hunter has filed a waiver of his rights under the Speedy Trial Act.

that the ends of justice served by the granting of such continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Factors which this court shall consider in determining whether to grant a continuance include whether the failure to grant such a continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice and whether or not the case is so complex that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for trial within the time limit established.

Defendant Coronado states he has just recently retained counsel who has only brief familiarity with the facts in the case and his new counsel needs additional time to review discovery and consult with defendant. Defendant Hunter states the continuance is sought so that he may be afforded due process of law under the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution by receiving adequate time to prepare this case for trial or negotiate an alternate resolution with the Government.

Failure to grant the continuance would deny counsel for the defendants the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. The court finds that the ends of justice served by granting a continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial. The motion for continuance of the February 14, 2011 trial setting will be granted and the case will be reset for jury trial on the Joint Criminal Docket which commences on Monday, April 25, 2011.

All defendants are charged in Count One with conspiracy. In granting the motion for continuance, there is no violation of the rights of the co-defendants to a speedy trial. <u>United</u> States v. Thomas, 774 F.2d 807 (2d Cir. 1985).

The court, in ordering this case removed from the joint criminal jury trial docket which will commence February 14, 2011, is not doing so because of congestion of the court's calendar, in accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(c).

Upon consideration of counsel's request for leave to withdraw as counsel for defendant Anthony Christopher Coronado, and the entry of appearance of new counsel, it is

ORDERED that John G. Gromowsky is granted leave to withdraw as counsel for defendant Anthony Christopher Coronado. [47] It is further

ORDERED that the motions of defendants Anthony Christopher Coronado and Kevin Ray Hunter for continuance of the February 14, 2011 trial setting are granted. [42, 45] It is further

ORDERED that the above-captioned case is reset for jury trial on the Joint Criminal Trial Docket which commences on Monday, April 25, 2011. It is further

ORDERED that a pretrial conference is reset for April 19, 2011, at 2:00 p.m. It is further ORDERED that the additional period of delay in commencing defendants' trial caused by this continuance shall be excluded in computing the time within which this trial shall commence under the Speedy Trial Act.

Dated this 31st day of January, 2011, at Jefferson City, Missouri.

/s/ Matt J. Whitworth
MATT J. WHITWORTH

United States Magistrate Judge