

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
WESTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
)
GARY EYE and)
STEVEN SANDSTROM,)
)
 Defendants.)

Case No. 05-00344-01/02-CR-W-ODS

**ORDER (1) ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE’S REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATION AND (2) GRANTING DEFENDANTS’ MOTIONS FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME**

1. On May 2, 2006, the Honorable Robert E. Larsen, United States Magistrate Judge for the Western District of Missouri, issued his Report and Recommendation (“the Report”) recommending that the undersigned deny Defendant Steven Sandstrom’s Motion to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, for a Bill of Particulars. Defendant filed a timely objection to the Report.

With respect to Sandstrom’s arguments for dismissal, the Court has conducted a de novo review of the Record and agrees with the Report’s conclusions. The Report’s thoroughness removes the need for the undersigned to provide a detailed discussion; in summary, the Court agrees that the indictment fairly apprises Sandstrom of the charges against him and will allow him to plead an acquittal or conviction as a bar to future prosecutions.

Judge Larsen’s decision to deny Sandstrom’s request for a Bill of Particulars is reviewed for clear error. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A). Keeping in mind that the decision to grant or deny a request for a Bill of Particulars is discretionary, the Court’s review of the record reveals no clear error.

The Report is adopted as the Order of the Court. Sandstrom's Motion to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, for a Bill of Particulars, is denied.

2. On May 2, Judge Larsen also issued a Report and Recommendation, recommending the undersigned deny Defendant Gary Eye's Motion to Dismiss for Selective Prosecution. No objections were filed, and the time for doing so has passed. See Order dated May 9, 2006. Accordingly, Judge Larsen's recommendation is adopted and the Motion to Dismiss is denied.

3. Pending are motions for additional time to file objections to additional rulings issued by Judge Larsen. The motions (Doc. # 126 and Doc. # 128) are granted. Objections to the Report and Recommendations issued on May 11, 2002, and May 15, 2002, shall be filed on or before June 12, 2006.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: May 30, 2006

/s/ Ortrie D. Smith
ORTRIE D. SMITH, JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT