IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI WESTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
Plaintiff,)	
Pidiliciii,)	Criminal Action No.
v.)	10-00320-19-CR-W-DGK
)	
MUHAMMAD IBRAHIM ROLLIE,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

ORDER

Before the court is defendant's motion for reconsideration of detention and release on bond. In support of his motion, defendant states in part as follows:

- 3. At the time of the hearing Rollie had no place to live and no prospects of employment. However, the circumstances have changed as Rollie's father, France Rollie, has since agreed to have Rollie live with him at his apartment located in the Kansas City metro area. Rollie's father is gainfully employed and can offer a good environment for Rollie to live in. Moreover, Rollie is confident he can find employment once he is released and living back in the Kansas City area. Employment will also help him support his children.
- 4. In addition to the family contact with his father, Rollie has other strong contacts to the Kansas City community. Rollie is a native of Kansas City and his exwife and the mother of his 2 young children, who are both under 5 years old, all live with Rollie's mother and two younger sisters in the Kansas City area.
- 5. Rollie is currently incarcerated in St. Clair County, which is close to 2 hours from Kansas City. This makes it difficult for Rollie and counsel to communicate and difficult for Rollie to assist counsel in preparing his defense. Granting Rollie pre-trial release and allowing him to come back to the Kansas City area will greatly facilitate the undersigned counsel's efforts in preparing Rollie's defense.

On March 24, 2011, after having considered the evidence presented at a detention hearing, I entered an order of detention based on an unreasonable risk of flight. I relied on the following factors:

Defendant is facing a mandatory ten-year sentence and a possible life sentence in this case, he eluded arrest for months after learning of the arrest warrant, he attempted to flee from police when he was eventually caught, he was communicating with people from six different states during the month before his arrest, he is unemployed, he has no current residence, and he has no appropriate residence if released. I also find that defendant has failed to rebut the presumption provided for in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) that there is no condition or combination of conditions of release that will reasonably assure the appearance of defendant as required.

Although I appreciate defendant's father's willingness to allow defendant to live with him, such an arrangement does not alleviate my other concerns. Defendant is facing a lengthy prison sentence, he hid from authorities, and he fled from police. Because of those factors, even reconsidering the evidence offered by defendant, I find that there are no conditions of release which would reasonable assure defendant's appearance as required. Therefore, it is

ORDERED that defendant's motion for release on bond is denied.

<u>/s/ Robert E. Larsen</u>

ROBERT E. LARSEN
United States Magistrate Judge

Kansas City, Missouri April 26, 2011