

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
WESTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

FRANK ALVAREZ,

Defendant.

)
)
)
) Criminal Action No.
) 10-00320-16-CR-W-DGK
)
)
)

ORDER

Before the court are defendant's reply briefs to his motion to dismiss for lack of federal jurisdiction and his motion to dismiss for violation of due process. On February 17, 2011, I ordered the following:

ORDERED that defendant(s) shall not file a reply pleading to the government's response unless the defendant has requested, by written motion, and received court authorization to do so. A request to file a reply pleading shall set forth the new facts or legal principles that the defendant seeks to bring to the court's attention and the reason or reasons why those facts or legal principles could not be included in the defendant's original pleading. The court will authorize a reply pleading only in cases in which new matters, which could not have been included in the original pleading with due diligence on the part of defense counsel, are to be brought to the court's attention.

Because defendant has not obtained leave to file replies, it is

ORDERED that those documents (260 and 261) are stricken from the record.



ROBERT E. LARSEN
United States Magistrate Judge

Kansas City, Missouri
June 17, 2011