(Court in Session at 10:41 a.m.)

THE COURT: Be seated everyone. Good morning.

MR. NELSON: Good morning.

MR. OSGOOD: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm calling the case of *United States of*America v. Clifton Taylor. The number of the case is 09-112-01
CR-W-ODS. Let me have the Assistant U.S. Attorney's appearance, please.

MR. NELSON: Good morning, Your Honor. Dan Nelson and Lena Ramana for the United States.

THE COURT: Thank you. And we have standby counsel for Mr. Taylor, right?

MR. OSGOOD: John Osgood as standby counsel, Your Honor.

THE COURT Okay. I thought it prudent to have everybody get together once again because, as I think everybody no doubt may remember here, this was a case in which Mr. Taylor had requested replacement of his court-appointed lawyer, the Assistant Federal Public Defender. That was refused and then he decided after having a hearing on the issue that he was going to proceed pro se. He did that. The case went to trial and after trial, there was a need to replace the Federal Public Defender, and so, after consultation with Judge Smith, appointed Mr. Osgood as standby counsel. The other day, I can't remember if I read it in the paper or if I actually saw the document, there was a motion for a new trial with suggestions in support by Mr. Osgood

as standby counsel. And, of course, as I think everyone knows here, we don't allow any kind of a hybrid representation here. Either Mr. Taylor is going to continue to represent himself, which he has a constitutional right to do, or if he decides that he wants to turn over the balance of the matter to Mr. Osgood, then we will then formally appoint him as counsel for Mr. Taylor. So, that's kind of where we're at and, Mr. Taylor, do you want us to appoint Mr. Osgood to represent you now in connection with the case that you have pending against you?

MR. TAYLOR: Yes. You should have a letter in the mail today why I withdrew as counsel and suggested that Mr. Osgood succeed me as counsel.

THE COURT: Okay. So, you want us to allow him to come in and represent you, right?

MR. TAYLOR: Yes.

THE COURT: Right?

MR. TAYLOR: Yes.

THE COURT: And now you understand that when Mr. Osgood is representing you, we're not going to allow then a hybrid relationship, as I think I've told you before. If Mr. Osgood is representing you, you're not going to be allowed to file your pleadings pro se. It's going to be Mr. Osgood's work that we're going to have here. Do you understand that?

MR. TAYLOR: Yes.

THE COURT: Yes? Okay. So, I just don't want you to be

surprised if you file something pro se that it will be stricken from the record, because that's what I'm going to do. I'm going to strike it from the record unless you decide that you want to go ahead and represent yourself again and we'll come back and we'll have another hearing. Okay. So, any questions that you have about that process, Mr. Taylor?

MR. TAYLOR: No.

THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Osgood?

MR. OSGOOD: No, I just -- the reason that I filed that new trial motion was the seven-day window that we were looking at. And we talked about it, so. I understand the rule about hybrid representation. I didn't think about it at the time, but that's why it was filed because --

THE COURT Does the rule say it's seven days to file a motion for a new trial? Is that what the rule --

MR. OSGOOD: It is.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. OSGOOD: Seven days after the verdict.

THE COURT: Well, okay. In any case, we'll have Mr. Osgood representing Mr. Taylor, and I think that's it. Anything else?

MR. NELSON: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, thank you all very much.

(Court Adjourned at 10:45 a.m.)

from the electronic sound recording of the proceeding in the above-entitled matter.

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript

/s/ Lissa C. Whittaker Signature of transcriber

<u>January 29, 2010</u> Date