1					
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
3	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI WESTERN DIVISION				
4					
5)	Case No. 09-00112-01-CR-W-ODS			
6		Kansas City, Missouri July 15, 2009			
7	v.)				
8	CLIFTON D. TAYLOR,)				
9	Defendant.)				
10					
11	TRANSCRIPT OF ATTORNEY REPRESENTATION HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT E. LARSEN				
12	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE				
13	APPEARANCES:				
13		eena Ramana, Esq. USA			
15	4	00 E. Ninth St., Ste. 5510 ansas City, MO 64106			
15	(816) 426-3122			
10	As Standby Counsel: T:	ravis Poindexter, Esq. ederal Public Defender's Off.			
	8	18 Grand Blvd., Ste. 300			
18		ansas City, MO 64106 816) 471-8282			
19 20		s. Dorothy Myers			
20	Transcribed by: R	apid Transcript			
21	1	issa C. Whittaker 001 West 65th Street			
22		ansas City, MO 64113 816) 822-3653			
23					
24	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcrip produced by transcription service.				
25					

I

(Court in Session at 11:03 a.m.)

THE COURT: I have the case of United States of America v. Clifton D. Taylor. The number of the case is 09-112-01-CR-W-ODS. Let me have the AUSA's appearance, please.

5 MS. RAMANA: Leena Ramana on behalf of the United6 States, Your Honor.

1

7

THE COURT: Counsel for Mr. Taylor, please.

8 MR. POINDEXTER: Good morning, Your Honor. Travis9 Poindexter for Mr. Taylor who is present this morning.

10 THE COURT: Okay. We're currently getting a series of 11 motions by this defendant, which I filed in the record, and I 12 wanted to get everybody together to see what the deal was. There 13 are three that have been presented most recently. Their 14 documents are Number 27, 28, and 29. So, Mr. Poindexter, can you 15 tell me what's going on here?

MR. POINDEXTER: I cannot, Your Honor. Obviously, I did not present those motions to the Court. Mr. Taylor has done so on his own direction. I've discussed with him all relevant due trial -- trial issues that we -- that are practical in the case, and that's kind of where I stand at this point.

21 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I guess, Mr. Taylor, what seems 22 to be the difficulty here?

23 MR. TAYLOR: The problem is he gives me the impression 24 that he's more like working for the prosecutors. His first visit 25 to come see me, he offered me a plea. And I asked him, I said

1 what are you doing to get me out of here. He said, it is what it 2 is. I told him I was going to trial and I want certain motions 3 filed, and he's telling me I can't file no pretrial motions until 4 after trial. He told me this like three different times. And I 5 know a pretrial motions is before a trial and --

6 THE COURT: Well, yeah, it is before trial, but the --7 what were the motions that you wanted to have filed?

8 MR. TAYLOR: I have them right here. Are these to be 9 given to you or would you like me to read them?

10 THE COURT: Just tell me what it is you think he should 11 file.

12 A motion for alleging Government misconduct MR. TAYLOR: 13 was generally what my basis for that was, for federal agents in 14 their act in designing and providing false representation of 15 material to the United States District Court, and this claim is based on a previous hearing where an unknown federal agent 16 17 requested a continuance of my incarceration to extract DNA from a 18 cup that I use. This claim is supported by a federal agent 19 Michael Mrachek coming up at a later date to collect DNA by use 20 of force. And I have a law in here which states, one, it's 21 intent to deceive when he makes a representation which is, in 22 fact, false or a reckless disregard. I asked him several times 23 to file a motion for an evidentiary hearing because --

24 THE COURT: On what? On what? What do you want to have 25 an evidentiary hearing on?

Case 4:09-cr-00112-ODS Document 119 Filed 01/29/10 Page 3 of 13

MR. TAYLOR: My cause for that was I request the Court to challenge the reliability of witness statements being that all witnesses involved statements that are opposite to each other and also the disparity in quantum of proof offered against me.

5 THE COURT: Those are all things that are jury 6 questions. We don't have evidentiary hearings on that, Mr. 7 Holman [sic]. Those are things that if there's disparity in the 8 evidence or inconsistencies in the testimony, that's a jury 9 matter. It's not stuff that we take up at pretrial.

10

MR. TAYLOR: Okay. Well, I --

11 THE COURT: You know, let me just kind of cut to the chase here and kind of talk to you a little bit about this 12 13 because I don't know whether you're doing this or you've got somebody back at CCA that's feeding you this stuff, and, frankly, 14 15 it doesn't matter to me. A lot of this is just simply nonsensical. We have looked at your letters and I'm not trying 16 17 to be critical of you. I'm sure you're frustrated with your 18 situation. But a lot of it just doesn't make any sense. You 19 know, you talk about, for example, in Document Number 27 you talk 20 about that you asked Travis to challenge hearsay statements which 21 have different stories saying that they're, you know, you've got 22 inconsistencies in the statements. Well, again, that's stuff 23 that, you know, there's nothing he can file about that. That's 24 just people have inconsistent statements and the jury considers 25 that in determining what evidence they believe and what evidence

Case 4:09-cr-00112-ODS Document 119 Filed 01/29/10 Page 4 of 13

1 they don't believe. There's some rather strange things in here.
2 This is an open file discovery case, right?

3

MS. RAMANA: Yes, Your Honor.

4 THE COURT: And so, you know, all the discovery issues, 5 the Government which is not required to give you anything other 6 than basic, primary information like your own statement, if you 7 made a confession, that and access to exhibits and things like 8 that. But the Government in this case are giving you their 9 entire investigative report, which they're not required to do. Ι 10 couldn't even order them to do that. So, discovery requests are 11 simply inappropriate at this point. Has there been anything that you've withheld from the defense counsel here? 12

13

17

23

24

25

MS. RAMANA: No, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: So, I don't know what -- but then, for 15 example, there is a -- there is one in which you list yourself as 16 the plaintiff and the State of Missouri as the defendant, --

MR. TAYLOR: Well, --

18 THE COURT: -- and you're complaining about the 19 continuation of a detention hearing. I mean and -- I mean I 20 ordered the detention hearing continued, which I do in -- was 21 there an additional extension other than the usual one that we 22 give?

MR. TAYLOR: I've been there ever since that. THE COURT: Pardon? MR. TAYLOR: I've been there ever since that and I was

Case 4:09-cr-00112-ODS Document 119 Filed 01/29/10 Page 5 of 13

1 supposed to went to court on June 7th for my DNA results and I'm
2 still here.

THE COURT: Well, I know. What was the continuance? 3 Do you know what he's talking about for the continuance of a 4 5 detention hearing? I mean, we routinely grant the Government's motion for a continuation of the detention hearing so you can 6 7 have a lawyer here. Otherwise, if we did it right on your first 8 appearance, you would do it without a lawyer. So, I always grant 9 those requests. I don't know what -- does anybody know what the 10 deal is about the DNA?

11

12

13

MR. TAYLOR: That's what --

THE COURT: No, I'm not asking you.

MR. TAYLOR: Oh.

14 THE COURT: I'm trying to figure out what they -- do you 15 know what he's talking about?

16

MS. RAMANA: As far as getting the returns --

17 THE COURT: He's saying that the detention hearing was 18 continued so that they -- because you guys needed time to extract 19 DNA from a cup in which he had chewed pieces while in city jail. 20 Does anybody know what this is about?

21 MR. POINDEXTER: Judge, they have submitted a number of 22 items for DNA analysis, which we are still waiting upon the 23 results, but I don't believe the proceeding was continued based 24 upon that investigation.

25

THE COURT: I mean, if they wanted to get DNA from you,

б

1 they -- did I order DNA be taken from this defendant?

2 MS. RAMANA: Your Honor, we ended up getting a search 3 warrant through the District of Kansas and that was the means 4 that we used to obtain the buccal swabs --

5

6

7

8

17

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. RAMAN: -- from him.

THE COURT: And that DNA has been sent off to the lab --

9 THE COURT: -- and you haven't got that. I don't know 10 what continuance you're talking about. I don't think anything 11 has been continued here because of some DNA request. That just 12 doesn't happen.

MR. TAYLOR: I was due for court like a month or two ago for results on that and I'm still here.

15THE COURT: In front of me? You were in front of me16about something to do with DNA?

MR. TAYLOR: Yes.

18 THE COURT: Well, I don't -- you know, they're entitled 19 to get, and they've apparently gotten a search warrant from 20 Kansas to get your DNA. If they've collected unknown samples 21 that they want to compare to your known DNA, they get to do that. 22 There's not a problem with that. There's nothing wrong with 23 that. They get to do it. And are you saying that we've 24 continued the case because the Government needed to get the DNA 25 analyzed, is that what you're saying?

Case 4:09-cr-00112-ODS Document 119 Filed 01/29/10 Page 7 of 13

The federal agent stated he needed 1 MR. TAYLOR: 2 additional time to extract DNA from the cup. I was due back for 3 court the next month for analysis of it. But when the next month came, I was never called back into court and I wrote the Court in 4 a motion stating that it was unlawful restraint to hold me here 5 6 passed that date. And then once I did that, the federal agent 7 got a search warrant to come and take DNA by force from me. So, 8 then --9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. TAYLOR: -- he came up here and --11 THE COURT: So, you're complaining that you're still --12 you think the Speedy Trial Act has run or what? 13 MR. TAYLOR: Well, it's not the speedy trial fact that I was speaking of. If he made an oath in court that he was 14 15 supposed to have DNA results at a certain time, then I believe they should have had them then. 16 17 THE COURT: And people all the time -- your lawyer will 18 ask for continuances, they'll ask for continuances, and we'll 19 routinely give them. I mean, you know, it's -- if it's a 20 reasonable request, we're going to give them. I don't think 21 there's much you can complaint about there. You ask -- in one of 22 these you ask for a severance of counts. You're only named in 23 one count. There's only count that you've got. 24 MR. TAYLOR: I understand that. 25 THE COURT: We can't sever that any further than one

1 count.

13

2 MR. TAYLOR: Well, I'm not entering these as a lawyer. 3 I'm entering these up under one that don't have knowledge of the 4 law.

5 THE COURT: Okay. Well, here's the deal. I don't see anything in what you've provided to me here or what I heard that 6 7 causes me concern about Mr. Poindexter representing you. And, 8 frankly, if I brought in a different lawyer to represent you, I don't think based on what I've read in front of me that there 9 10 would be any different response by that other lawyer. I mean, I 11 don't think anything that Mr. Poindexter has done or not done 12 here causes me --

MR. TAYLOR: Well, I don't --

14 THE COURT: Let me finish and then you can say whatever 15 you want to say, but let me kind of get through what I need to say to you. So, I'm not going to replace him because I don't 16 17 think he's done anything or failed to do anything that reflects 18 on the adequacy of the representation. Now, here are your 19 choices though. You are not without other options. And so you 20 need to understand that even though I'm not going to replace Mr. 21 Poindexter, if you or your family want to hire a private lawyer 22 to come in here and represent you on this matter, you're free to 23 do that. But I'm not going to expend additional taxpayer money 24 to bring in another lawyer for what I see in front of me. That's 25 not going to happen. Now, the other option -- so your first

option is if you want to hire somebody, go ahead and do it. 1 The 2 second option that you have is that you may represent yourself. 3 You have a constitutional right to represent yourself and to proceed as you're doing here by filing these motions, and if 4 5 that's what you want to do, then you need to tell me that and I'll have a rather lengthy hearing with you about the potential 6 7 downside to doing that. I'm not going to do it today because, 8 frankly, I don't have enough time to do it today. But if you decide -- and I want you to think about it. I want you to think 9 10 about it and talk to Mr. Poindexter about it. What I would do, 11 just so that you're aware. I would allow you probably to represent yourself and I'd have Mr. Poindexter remain in the case 12 13 as what's called standby counsel, which means he doesn't do 14 anything for you. He doesn't advise you, he doesn't run for 15 books for you. He doesn't do anything for you. The only thing he does is if you get tired of representing yourself, which 16 17 frequently happens in these kinds of cases, then we have somebody 18 who can get back into the case and try it. So, those are your 19 options. Now, I want to give you an opportunity to say what you 20 wanted to say, Mr. Homan [sic].

21 MR. TAYLOR: Taylor. I want a change of venue, please.
22 THE COURT: Well, that motion is denied. Anything else
23 that you want to say?

24

25

MR. TAYLOR: I want a change of venue. THE COURT: Again, that's going to be denied.

Case 4:09-cr-00112-ODS Document 119 Filed 01/29/10 Page 10 of 13

1 MR. TAYLOR: Because there's a conflict of interest
2 involved.

3 THE COURT: Conflict of interest by whom? MR. TAYLOR: My representation and --4 By your lawyer? 5 THE COURT: 6 MR. TAYLOR: Yes. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Well, --8 MR. TAYLOR: He hasn't done anything to show favor to me

9 and my case, nothing. And I'm explaining this to you and you 10 encouraging me that maybe I should go pro se. I'm not here to go 11 pro se.

12 THE COURT: I'm not -- let me make one thing very clear.
13 I am not encouraging you to go pro se. What I am telling you is
14 you should not go pro se, but --

MR. TAYLOR: I'm not going to go pro se.

16 THE COURT: But I'm not going to bring in another lawyer 17 where the other lawyer is not going to be able to do anything 18 different than what Mr. Poindexter has done. I'm not going to do 19 that. So, but if you want to hire your own lawyer, go ahead and 20 do it.

21		TAYLOR:	I don't want
22		COURT:	That's your call.
23	MR.	TAYLOR:	I want a change of venue.

15

24THE COURT: I hear you saying it and I've already ruled25that, so we're not going to rule it again. Anything else on

	12				
1	behalf of the United States?				
2	MS. RAMANA: No, Your Honor.				
3	THE COURT: Mr. Poindexter, anything else that you want				
4	to raise?				
5	MR. POINDEXTER: No, Judge.				
6	THE COURT: Thank you.				
7	(Court Adjourned at 11:17 a.m.)				
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17 18					
10 19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

I

		13
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceeding in the	
10	above-entitled matter.	
11	(s (tisse G Whittelson Terrison 20, 2010	
12	<u>/s/ Lissa C. Whittaker</u> <u>January 29, 2010</u> Signature of transcriber Date	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		