		CONTINTS
NAME 2/4/5045 PRISON IDENTIFICATION/BOOKING NO. 8901 S HIMOT ROAC ADDRESS OR PLACE OF CONFINEMENT Gerrante 85706 Note: If represented by an attorney, provide nat number It is your responsibility to notify writing of any change of address.	me, address & telephone	Table of Authorities 2255 8 pages Legal Theory Exhibits used at trial Exhibits not used at trial Motion to proceed in forma paupeers Teanscripts
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		CASE NUMBER:
	Plaintiff,	CV To be supplied by the Clerk of the United States District Court
· v.		CR 09-00112-01-CR-W-008

INSTRUCTIONS - READ CAREFULLY

Petitioner.

Criminal case under which sentence was imposed

MOTION TO VACATE, SET ASIDE OR

CORRECT SENTENCE BY A PERSON IN FEDERAL CUSTODY 28 U.S.C § 2255

This motion must be legibly handwritten or typewritten and signed by the movant under penalty of perjury. Any false statement of a material fact may serve as the basis for prosecution and conviction for perjury. All questions must be answered concisely in the proper space on the form. Where more room is needed to answer any questions use reverse side of sheet.

Additional pages are not permitted. No citation or authorities need be furnished. If briefs or arguments are submitted, they should be submitted in the form of a separate memorandum.

Upon receipt, your motion will be filed if it is in proper order. NO FEE is required with this motion

If you do not have the necessary funds for transcripts, counsel, appeal, and other costs connected with a motion of this type, you may request permission to proceed in forma pauperis, in which event you must execute the declaration on the last page, setting forth information establishing your inability to pay costs. If you wish to proceed in forma pauperis, you must have an authorized officer at the penal institution complete the certificate as to the amount of money and securities on deposit to your credit in any account in the institution.

Only judgments entered by one court may be challenged in a single motion. If you seek to challenge judgments entered by different judges or divisions either in the same district or in a different districts, you must file separate motions as to each judgment.

Your attention is directed to the fact that you must include all grounds for relief and all facts supporting such grounds for relief in the motion you file seeking relief from any judgment of conviction.

When the motion is fully completed, the original and three (3) copies must be mailed to the Clerk of the United States District Court, whose address is

400 East 9th State Kemo, G4106

CV-67 (02/05)

(Include name under which you were convicted)

MOTION 28 U.S.C § 2255 Page 1 of 6

MOTION

1.	Name and location of court which entered judgment of conviction under attack: WESTEEN DISTRICT; KCMo. 400 East 9th Street KCMo 64106
2.	
3.	~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4.	
5.	What was your plea? (check one)
	☐ Not guilty ☐ Guilty ☐ Nolo Contendere
	If you entered a guilty plea to one count or indictment, and a not guilty plea to another count or indictment, give details:
	Kind of trial: (check one)
	☑ Jury □ Judge only
,	Did you testify at the trial?
,	☑ Yes ☐ No
	Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction?
	D'Yes No Coursel appealed
V-6	7 (02/05) MOTION Page 2 of 28 U.S.C § 2255

(a) Name of court	U.S. appeals court St Loves Mr. 8thers.
(b) Result	Denied
-	
(c) Date of result_	8-30-10
	t appeal from the judgment of conviction and sentence, have you previously filed any petitions, tions with respect to this judgment in any federal court?
☐ Yes	⊠ No
11. If your answer to q (a) (1) Name of C	uestion number 10 was "yes", give the following information:
	proceeding
(3) Grounds ra	ised
	ceive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion?
Yes (5) Regult	□ No
(5) Result	
(6) Date of res	ult
(b) (1) Name of C	
(2) Nature of p	roceeding
(3) Grounds ra	sed
	eive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion?
	□ No
(5) Result	
(6) Date of resu	dt .
(c) (1) Name of Co	
(2) Nature of pr	
Marketon and the second and the seco	
(3) Grounds rai	sed
	eive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion?
☐ Yes	☑ No

CV-67 (02/05)

MOTION 28 U.S.C § 2255 Page 3 of 6

		(5) Result
		(6) Date of result
		Did you appeal, to an appellate federal court having jurisdiction, the results of action taken on any petition, application or motion?
		(1) First petition, etc. Tyes \(\sigma\) No detect appeal by counsel
		(1) First petition, etc. A Yes Li No access The
		(2) Second petition, etc. Yes No
		(3) Third petition, etc. Yes No
4		If you did not appeal from the adverse action on any petition, application or motion, explain briefly why you did not:
		legal mail managed to get lost ducing transfer to another
		Withthe
	-	
	-	
	-	
	-	
12. 5	State	concisely every ground on which you claim that you are being held unlawfully.
ν	vhic	eedings. Each statement preceded letter constitutes a separate ground for possible relief. You may raise any grounds h you have other than those listed. However, you should raise in this motion all available grounds (relating to this iction) on which you base your allegations that you are being held in custody unlawfully.
I: P	f yo etiti	u selected one or more of these grounds for relief, you must allege facts in support of the grounds listed below. The ion will be returned to you if you merely check (a) through (j) or any one of these grounds.
	(a) Conviction obtained by plea of guilty which was unlawfully induced or not made voluntarily or with understanding of the nature of the charge and the consequences of the plea.
	(1	b) Conviction obtained by use of coerced confession.
	(0	c) Conviction obtained by use of evidence gained pursuant to an unconstitutional search and seizure.
	(0	d) Conviction obtained by use of evidence obtained pursuant to an unlawful arrest.
	(6	e) Conviction obtained by violation of the privilege against self-incrimination.
X	(1	Conviction obtained by the unconstitutional failure of the prosecution to disclose to the defendant evidence
		favorable to the defendant.
	(8	3) Conviction obtained by a violation of the protection against double jeopardy.
	(l	a) Conviction obtained by action of a grand or petit jury which was unconstitutionally selected and impaneled.
X	(i	
	(i	
~	_) Sundamental muscarruge of justice
×	(hu) somewhere mesencing or former

Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): The trust court tested to allow the prostecerous to east its selected indestages sucreptages. If the same store which well relevant and highly probative because the rideos which the only source, of available material to establish a time of very departure and a actival innocence. B. Ground two: Eurodomental miscassings of justice—failure to present evidence and actival innocence. Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): The presented scientific sendings that courself failure to disclose exhibit B critical scientific sendings. Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): The people seen one petern through the chans in a truck which states. In Thy DNA citinal scientific sendings. A multiple people seen one petern through the chans in a truck which elementally was another proble, is a c. Ground three: Eartheetine assessment of pretrial standing trust coursel, and appendiant courself. Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Lourself Sadure to present its standard and violates the such amounting proteins through the connection is standard and violates the such amounting proteins through the connection is standard and violates the such amounting cases or law): Lourself standing the projection of the professional and impression effect by instituting the properties factive explication of such and inspection of the people such the people such the people such first people such the people such the people such first people such the people such the people such first people such the people such and in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: Be and D well and presented on appeal now pending in any court as to the judgment under attack?	the prostection to use crisilected releatable of tobacco store to support its theory and to day me exquested redectapes "surrellance" of the same store which well relevant and highly probative because the redios while the only source of available material restartings of justice - failure represent excluse. B. Ground two: Fundamental restartings of justice - failure represent excluse. of actual innocence. Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): The present securitive evidence as a certail anaectace which states is. The first of securitive evidence as a certail anaectace which states is. The first of actual securitive evidence as a certail failure to disclose exhibit. Be current sticked securitive evidence as a certail failure to disclose exhibit. The first of the mater has PNA stated from discorded clarking. 2- multiple people seen one present through the cities in a truck which ultimately was another profile, is a confidence the cities in a truck which ultimately was another profile, is a confidence of present failure to present its stangers argument consel. Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Counsels failure to present its standard and violates the sweek animalment quaraenter to the effective. Standard and violates the sweek animalment quaraenter to the effective. Standard and violates the sweek animalment quaraenter to the effective. Consult four: Ineffective assistance of present the just fact facting accusses. Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Accuse standby counsels meets appeared and expensive the sweek animalment guaraenter to the effective defending and injuntous effect by infecting the just which the defective defending and injuntous effect by infecting the just when the defective observable and other term possible contributer to its of timate issue. The profile because appellant counsel solvy aprentic durch his choice of defense strengthen. Speak D wite and presented of counsel solvy		Exhibit a
this prosecution to use crisical test relatage of tobacco store to support its through and to day me exquested redeorages "succeillance" of this same store entire with successful the ending support of the same store entire with and the ending support of the same store entire with the ending support of considering the ending support of the end of actual endocence which status; In 184 Wh and not match the ending support of the end of actual endocence which status; In 184 Wh and end to the end of the ending support of the end	the prostection to use crisilected releatable of tobacco store to support its theory and to day me exquested redectapes "surrellance" of the same store which well relevant and highly probative because the redios while the only source of available material restartings of justice - failure represent excluse. B. Ground two: Fundamental restartings of justice - failure represent excluse. of actual innocence. Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): The present securitive evidence as a certail anaectace which states is. The first of securitive evidence as a certail anaectace which states is. The first of actual securitive evidence as a certail failure to disclose exhibit. Be current sticked securitive evidence as a certail failure to disclose exhibit. The first of the mater has PNA stated from discorded clarking. 2- multiple people seen one present through the cities in a truck which ultimately was another profile, is a confidence the cities in a truck which ultimately was another profile, is a confidence of present failure to present its stangers argument consel. Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Counsels failure to present its standard and violates the sweek animalment quaraenter to the effective. Standard and violates the sweek animalment quaraenter to the effective. Standard and violates the sweek animalment quaraenter to the effective. Consult four: Ineffective assistance of present the just fact facting accusses. Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Accuse standby counsels meets appeared and expensive the sweek animalment guaraenter to the effective defending and injuntous effect by infecting the just which the defective defending and injuntous effect by infecting the just when the defective observable and other term possible contributer to its of timate issue. The profile because appellant counsel solvy aprentic durch his choice of defense strengthen. Speak D wite and presented of counsel solvy	Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): The treal co	vet etted to allow
which were relevant and highly probature because the videos while the only sovere of available material to establish a time of entry departure and a B. Ground two: Fundamental miscarriage of justice—failure to present exclude af actual innocence—Exhibit B. Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): The presental secuntific exidence of actual innocence which states I. My DNA delant match the DNA tested from discarded clocking. 2. multiple people seen one person through the etens use tweek which of unactly was another predile, is a C. Ground three: Inchlicius assertance of presently standay trail causel, and appealant causel B" of secuntific exidence sequed by trail countil, and DNA analyst which blastles the prosecutions through for connection is infilicture assertance of counsel contrary to the effective expressional and violates the sweek amundment quaranter to the effective expressional DNA analyst which before the present of present to the effective expressional DNA analyst which because of presenting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Actual to the effective expressional DNA analyst which the sweek amundment quaranter to the effective expressional DNA analyst which the sweek amundment quaranter to the effective expressional DNA analyst which the sweek amundment quaranter to the effective definition of an obtimate issue within his independent processes cereating a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the pury which the defective definition of an obtimate issue within his independent consecuted, and give your reasons for not presenting them: By and D were not presented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: By and D were not presented by our reasons for not presenting them: By and D were not presented by our reasons for not presenting them: By and D were not presented by our chance of	which were referent and highly probature because the videos while the only source of available material to establish a time of entry departure and B. Ground two: Fundamental miscarriage of justice - failure represent evidence of actual innocence. Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): The pretrial standby time counsel failure to disclose exhibit B critical federal secuntific evidence of actual innocence which states; I. Thy DNA delast match the DNA tested from discarded clarking. 2. multiple people see one person throw the creas one truck which obtained by times another profile, is a c. Ground three: Institute assessment of pretrial festandby timel counsel, and appeallant example without citing cases or law): Counsel failure to present its strangers argument exhibit B" of scientific evidence signed by two didition. DNA analyst which blastits the prosecurious through for conviction is standard and violates the sweek aminoment quarantees through for conviction is standard and violates the sweek aminoment quarantees to the effective experience. Or Ground four: Ineffective assistance of pretrial standby trial counsel proporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Acture standby trial counsel proporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Acture standby trial counsel proporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Acture standby trial counsel for the proporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Acture standby trial counsels meeter appropring facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Acture standby trial counsels meeter appropring facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Acture standby trial counsels meeter appropring facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Acture standby trial counsels meeter and of the factory of the found of the found of the factory of the fact		
which were relevant and highly probative because the videos while the only source of available material to establish a time of entity departure and a statual innocence. Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): The pretrial standby trual covasted facture to desidose exhibit B critical statual securities exidence of actual innocence which states; Is The DVA delant match the PNA tested from discarded clackings. 2- multiple people seen one person through the items in a truck which obtained by timestly was another profile, is a c. C. Ground three: Institute assertance of pertinal standby trual counsel, and appendiant example without citing cases or law): Locustly fadore to present its supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Locustly fadore to present its stranges argument shifts which blastits the passecritors through for conviction is sufficient assistance of counsel contrary to the effective expressional strange of counsels of present to the effective expressional and violates the such anundment quarantee to the effective expressional assistance of present to distort the pure fact straight of the pure fact straight as a substantial and approves effect by infecting the pure which the defective defention of an element for the pure fact straight what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: By and D write not presented an approach because of definite and presenting them: By and D write not presented an approach because of defined because of applicate straight on the charter of an applicate straight on the charter of an applicate straight.	which were referent and highly probature because the videos while the only source of available material to establish a time of entry departure and B. Ground two: Fundamental miscarriage of justice - failure represent evidence of actual innocence. Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): The pretrial standby time counsel failure to disclose exhibit B critical federal secuntific evidence of actual innocence which states; I. Thy DNA delast match the DNA tested from discarded clarking. 2. multiple people see one person throw the creas one truck which obtained by times another profile, is a c. Ground three: Institute assessment of pretrial festandby timel counsel, and appeallant example without citing cases or law): Counsel failure to present its strangers argument exhibit B" of scientific evidence signed by two didition. DNA analyst which blastits the prosecurious through for conviction is standard and violates the sweek aminoment quarantees through for conviction is standard and violates the sweek aminoment quarantees to the effective experience. Or Ground four: Ineffective assistance of pretrial standby trial counsel proporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Acture standby trial counsel proporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Acture standby trial counsel proporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Acture standby trial counsel for the proporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Acture standby trial counsels meeter appropring facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Acture standby trial counsels meeter appropring facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Acture standby trial counsels meeter appropring facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Acture standby trial counsels meeter and of the factory of the found of the found of the factory of the fact	throny and to day me requested in destapes "surveilland	ce" of the same store
B. Ground two: Fundamental miscattrage of justice - Salvice represent exclusion of actual unocence- Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): The protectual standby trual course! Salvice to disclose exhibit B critical federal scientific evidence of actual unocence which states; I. Thy DNA defeat match the DNA tested from descarded clarking. 2. multiple people seen one person throw the etems in a truck which obtimately was another people, is a c. Ground three: Ineffective assertance of pretrial standby trual coursel, and appeallant coursel. Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Counsels Salvice to present its standard DNA analyst which blasters the prosecutions through be connection is ineffective assistance of counsel contrary to the effective equestionation. Standard and violates the such amendment guarantee to the effective equestional Disporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Active standby trual coursels for preference of preference of preference for the effective equalities of an elementary of a counsel of preference of preference of preference for preference of	B. Ground two: Fundamental miscattrage of justice - facilise to present evidence of actual unocence. Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): The pretitual standby trust course! facilise to disclose exhibit Betitude federal scantific endence of actual unocence which states; le Thy DNA ded not match the DNA tested from discated clerking. 2. multiple people seen one person through the etems in a truck which ultimately was another profile; is a c. Ground three: Ineffective assertance of pretival standby trust coursel, and appeallant coursel. Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Counsels failure to present its standard DNA analyst which blastits the prosecutions through for connection is ineffective assessment of counsel contrary to the effective representation." standard and violates the same amendment quaranter to the effective of coursels and income a pretival standby trust coursels. Ground four: Ineffective assistance of pretival standby trust coursels are profiled to the first purporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Active standby trust coursels are profiled to the first passibility of an extrusive to the effective definition of an ultimate issue within his independent of the diffective definition of an ultimate issue within his independent of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: B.C. and D. were not presented because appellant analysis and appeals and each his choice of definition of an observation of an extrapolation of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: B.C. and D. were not presented evice has choses of the definition of an element of evictions has briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: B.C. and D. were the p		
Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): The preticul standby trust course! Sachuse to disclose exhibit B critical sideral scientific evidence of actual innocence which states: Is The DNA declare match the DNA tested from discarded clarking. 2. new tipple people seen one person throw the ctims in a truck which elemantly was another profile, is a c. Ground three: Ineffective assetance of pretual standby trust coursel, and appearant as supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Counsels factore to present its strongest argument should blasters the prosecutions through for connection is ineffective assessment of counsel contrary to the effective representation." Standard and violates the such anendment quarantee to the effective representation. Of cround four: Ineffective assistance of pretrial standby trust coursel exhibit D supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Active standby trust coursel exhibit D supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Active standby trust coursel exhibit D supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Active standby counsels mere for present of the defective defencion of an elemant course by infleting the jury when the defective defencion of an elemant contribution to its of the grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: B.C. and D. were not presenting them: B.C. and D. were not presented evech his charce of defense stratugy.	Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): The pretical standby trual courses factories to disclose exhibit B critical sideral scientific evidence. as actual innocence which states; is They DVA declarat match the DVA tested from discarded clothing. 2. multiple people seen one person throw the ctimes in a truck which obtained you as another people, is a c. Ground three: Ineffective assetance of pertual standby trual coursel, and appreciant coursel. Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Counsel's factors to present its strongest argument ships without citing cases or law): Counsel's factors to present its strongest argument ships without blasters the prosecutions showy for connection is ineffective assessment of counsel contrary to the effective representation." Standard and violates the such amendment quarantee to the effective of counsel contrary to the effective representation. Standard and violates the such amendment quarantee to the effective assessment of preferred standby trual course factoring the jury supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Active standby trual course factory to the pury fact factory assessment of preferred standby materials materials and effective defention of an obtimate usual the jury fact factory of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: By and D, with not presented on previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: By and D, with not presented on previously presented, the charact of presented defense appellant counsel solvy quaranted cusch has charact of defense at a presented and cusch has charact of defense at a presented and previously appeared on the presented of the charact of the charact of the defense appellant counsel solvy appeared on the charact of the charact of the charact of the charac	SOURCE of available material to establish a time of entit	y, depacture and
Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): The preticul standby trust course! Salve to disclose exhibit B critical sideral scientific evidence of actival innocence which states: Is They DNA dictor match the DNA tested from discarded clothing. 20 moltiple people seen one person throw the ctims in a teach which obtained by was another profile, is a c. Ground three: Ineffective assetance of preticul standby trust coursel, and appealment coursel. Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Counsels factore to present its strongest argument children blasties the prosecutions through for connection is ineffective assessment of counsel contrary to the effective representation." Standard and violates the sixeh anisoment quarantee to the effective representation. Confrond four: Ineffective assistance of pretrial standby trust coursel exhibit D importing facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Active standby trust coursels mere processes creating a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the jury with the defective definition of an oltimate issue within his independent of the report which used the term possible contributor to its oltimate issue. The analysis of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: By C and D with act presented on special business appellant winself solely appeared devicts his charce of definite anisotic presented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: By C and D with act presented on special devicts his charce of definite anisotic presented and course appellant winself solely appeared devicts his charce of	Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): The pretical standby trust course! Salve to disclose exhibit B critical sideral scientistic evidence of actival innocence which states; is They DVA declarat match the DVA tested from discarded clothing. 2. multiple people seen one person throw the crease in a truck which ulrimately was another profile; is a c. Ground three: Ineffective assetance of pretival standby trust coursel, and appending facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Counsels factore to present its standard analyst which blastics the prosecutions through for connection is ineffective assessment of counsel contrary to the effective expectantation." Standard and violates the such amendment quaranter to the effective assessment. Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Active standard to the effective assessment of counsel contrary to the effective expectantation." Standard and violates the such amendment quaranter to the effective assessment of pretrial standard trust factory for purporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Active standby trust counsels meter appearance testifying was sufficient to distort the jury short when his molephical and experience to the characters of an elementary to the jury should be active of elementary for the purporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Active standby materials are purporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Active standby trust counsels meter for the purporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Active standby trust factory for purporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Active standby factory the jury for or the ground site of 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: By C and D, with and counse of forms of the purporting facts (the factory of	B. Ground two: Fundamental miscorriage of justice - failur	ce topresent exclence
covasel failure to disclose exhibit B current federal seventific evidence. of actual unaccence which states; to May DNA old not match the DNA tested from descarded clothing. 2- multiple people seen one person throw the creas in a truck which elimately was another profile, is a C. Ground three: Ineffective assertance of pretrial/standby trial counsel, and appeallant counsel Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Coensels failure to present its strongest argument Exhibit B" of scientific evidence signed by two fictival DNA analyst which blosters the prosecutions through for conviction is ineffective assistance of counsel contrary to the Effective representation" standard and violates the such amendment quarantee to the effective. O. Ground four: Ineffective assistance of pretrial standby trial counsel Exhibit D supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): active standby counsels mere supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): active standby counsels mere supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): active standby counsels mere supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): active standby counsels mere supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): active standby counsels mere supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): active standby counsels mere supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): active standby counsels mere supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): active standby counsels mere supporting facts (tell your story briefly mere fact of standby counsels mere supporting facts (tell your story briefly mere fact of standby counsels mere supporting facts (tell your story briefly mere fact of supporting facts (tell your story briefly mere fact of supporting facts (tell your story briefly mere fact of supporting facts (tell your story briefly	covasel failure to disclose exhibit 13 central federal scientific enidence of actual innocence which states; is Thy DNA defant match the DNA tested from descarded clothing. 2- multiple people seen one peeson throw the items in a tivek which ultimately was another profile, is a C. Ground three: Ineffective assistance of pretrial standby trual cornsel, and appeallant coursel, and appeallant coursel supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Counsels failure to present its strongest argument Exhibit B" of scientific evidence signed by toos electral DNA analyst which blosties the prosecutions throughout connection is ineffective assistance of counsel contrary to the Effective representation." Standard and violates the sinch amendment quarantee to the effective. Out Ground four: Ineffective assistance of pretrial standby trual coursel exhibit D supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Active standby counsels meet appearance testifying was sufficient to distoit the pury fact finding concess creating a substantial and appearance effect by infecting the jury which the defective destination of an officient issue within his independent of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: By and D were not presented on the charact counsel solely appeared descent his charact of defense strategy.	of actual unnocence-	
A setual innocence which states; is They DNA defaat match the DNA tested from descarded clarking. 2. multiple people seen one peepsa throw the items in a truck which ultimately was another profile, is a C. Ground three: Eneffective Asserance of pretual standby trual counsel, and appealant cansel Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Locusels fadoute to present its strongest argument Exhibit B" of scantific evidence signed by two defend DNA analyst which blasties the prosecutions through for connection is factifictive assistance of counsel contrary to the Effective representation." Standard and violates the sweek amendment guarantee to the effective Counsel of ground four: Ineffective assistance of pretrial standby trual counsel appearance testifying was sufficient to distort the purps fact standing Counsels the defective definition of an ultimate issue within his independent Our a sepact which will the term possible contributor to its ultimate issue." I and the defective definition of an ultimate issue within his independent Our a sepact which will the term possible contributor to its ultimate issue." I am of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: By and D were not presented because appellant counsel solvely appeared described his choice of definite strongly.	A actual unocence which states; is May DNA decast match the DNA tested from descarded clothing. 2. multiple people seen one person throw the items in a truck which ultimately was another people, is a C. Ground three: **Eneffictive assessment of pretrial standby trial counsel, and appealant counsel Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): **Lounsels fadure to present its strongest argument Exhibit B" of scientific existing signed by two dictival DNA analyst which blasties the prosecutions throughout conviction is institute assistance of counsel contrary to the Effective representation" standard and violates the sweek amendment guarantee to the effective. O. Ground four: **Ineffective assistance of pretrial standby trial counsel Exhibit D Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): **active standby eponsels mere supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): **active standby eponsels mere supporting facts (tell your story briefly without of an oltimate issue within his independent such the defective definition of an oltimate issue within his independent such the defective definition of an oltimate issue within his independent such the defective definition of an oltimate issue within his independent such the defective definition of an oltimate issue within his independent such the defective definition of an oltimate issue within his independent such the defective definition of an oltimate issue within his independent such the defective definition of an oltimate issue within the intervention of the grounds were not presented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: By and D were not presented which his choice of definite strategy.	Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): The pretry	al I standby trial
theory the creas in a truck which alrimately was another people, is a c. Ground three: Ineffective asserance of pretual/standby trual coexist, and appealant coexist. Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Coensels factore to present its strongest argument Eshebit B" of scientific exclence signed by two fiction DNA analyst which blasties the prosecutions theory for conviction is ineffective assessance of counsel contrary to the effective representation." standard and violates the such amendment quarantee to the effective eshebit D supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): active standby trual coensel exhibit D supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): active standby counsels mere appearance testifying was sufficient to distoit the jurys fact finding cocess creating a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the jury such the defective defention of an altimate issue within his independent of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: By and D were not presented because appellant accessed solely appeared desects his charge of defense stratugy.	throw the crems in a truck which alrimately was another profile, is a c. Ground three: Ineffective asserance of pretrial standby trial coursel, and appealant example. Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Coensels factore to present its strongest argument "Eshaber B" of scientistic endence signed by two didetal DNA analyst which blasties the prosecutions through for connection is ineffective assistance of counsel contrary to the effective representation" standard and violates the such amendment quarantee to the effective. Or Ground four: Ineffective assistance of pretrial standby trial course for profile facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): active standby counsels mere appearance testifying was sufficient to distoit the purps fact fading process creating a substantial and apprecous effect by infecting the jury which the defective definition of an altimate issue within his independent of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: By and D were not presented because appellant axinsel solely appreciated which his charce of definise strating.	coursel facture to disclose exhibit B critical federal	scientific Evidence
throw the items in a truck which alternately was another profile, is a c. Ground three: Ineffective asserance of pretual/standhy trual coexist, and appealment examsel Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Coensels factore to present its strongest argument Esheber B" of scientific existence signed by two fideral DNA analyst which blasties the prosecutions theory for conviction is ineffective assessance of counsel contrary to the effective representation." Standard and violates the swell amendment quarantee to the effective assistantion. Ground four: Ineffective assistance of present standard standby trual coexist for present factors the property factoring facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Active standby counsels mere appearance testifying was sufficient to distoit the pury fact finding process creating a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the jury with the defective definition of an ultimate issue within his independent of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not presented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: By and D were not presented because appellant coensel solely appreciated which his choice of definise strategy.	throw the crems in a truck which alrimately was another profile, is a c. Ground three: Ineffective asserance of pretrial standby trial coursel, and appealant example. Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Coensels factore to present its strongest argument "Eshaber B" of scientistic endence signed by two didetal DNA analyst which blasties the prosecutions through for connection is ineffective assistance of counsel contrary to the effective representation" standard and violates the such amendment quarantee to the effective. Or Ground four: Ineffective assistance of pretrial standby trial course for profile facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): active standby counsels mere appearance testifying was sufficient to distoit the purps fact fading process creating a substantial and apprecous effect by infecting the jury which the defective definition of an altimate issue within his independent of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: By and D were not presented because appellant axinsel solely appreciated which his charce of definise strating.	of actual innocence which states; to They DNA did not	t match the DNA
C. Ground three: **Intellictive assertance of pretrial standing trial coursel, and appeallant coursel Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): **Locatel's Saduete to present its strangest argument Exhibit B" of scientific evidence signed by two fideral DNA analyst which blasters the proseccitions through for conviction is invessible two assistance of coursel contrary to the Effective representation." Standard and violates the sixth amendment quarantee to the effective of Grounsel of pretrial standing trial coursel for the effective assistance of pretrial standing trial coursel for prediction of an obtained the purps fact finding processes creating a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the jury which the diffective definition of an obtainate issue within his independent four a seport which used the term possible contribution to its ultimate issue." If any of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: By and D were not presented on appeal because appellant coursel solely appears described his charge of definite strategy.	C. Ground three: Intellicture assertance of pretrial standing trial counsel, and appeallant counsel Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Counsels Saduete to present its Strongest argument Exhabit B" of scentific exidence signed by two Scholard DNA analyst which blasties the prosecutions through for conviction is intellicture assistance of counsel contrary to the Effective representation." Standard and violates the swell amendment quarantee to the effective. O. Ground four: Intellicture assistance of pretical standing trial counsel Exhabit D Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): active standing counsels mere supplicance testifying was sufficient to distort the purps fact finding process creating a substantial and injurious effect by inflicting the jury with the diffective definition of an obtimate issue within his independent on a seport which weed the term possible contribution to its ultimate issue." If any of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: By and D were not presented on the presented on appeal because appellant counsel solely appeared which his charge of	tested from descarded clothing. 2. multiple prop	LE SEEN ONE PERSON
C. Ground three: Interview assertance of pretrial standing trial coursel, and appeallant coursel Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Coensels Sadute to present its Strongest argument Exhibit B" of scientific evidence signed by two Sideral DNA analyst which blosters the prosecutions throughor conviction is interflective assistance of counsel contrary to the Effective representation." Standard and violates the sirch aninoment quarantee to the effective Standard four: Interflective assistance of pretrial / standing trial counsel Exhibit D Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Active standing counsels mere supplicance testifying was sufficient to distort the jurys fact finding process creating a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the jury with the diffective definition of an oltimate issue within his independent on a sepact which used the term possible contributor to its ultimate issue." If any of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: By and D were not persented on chance of a chance of a chance of a chance of standard when his chance of a chance of standard size of standard when his chance of a chance of standard size of standard when his chance of a	C. Ground three: Intellicture assertance of pretrial standing trial counsel, and appeallant counsel Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Counsels Saduete to present its Strongest argument Exhabit B" of scentific exidence signed by two Scholard DNA analyst which blasties the prosecutions through for conviction is intellicture assistance of counsel contrary to the Effective representation." Standard and violates the swell amendment quarantee to the effective. O. Ground four: Intellicture assistance of pretical standing trial counsel Exhabit D Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): active standing counsels mere supplicance testifying was sufficient to distort the purps fact finding process creating a substantial and injurious effect by inflicting the jury with the diffective definition of an obtimate issue within his independent on a seport which weed the term possible contribution to its ultimate issue." If any of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: By and D were not presented on the presented on appeal because appellant counsel solely appeared which his charge of	throw the ctems in a truck which olimately was an	other profile, is a
Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Coensels factore to present its Strongest argument Exhibit B" of scientific evidence signed by two Sideral DNA analyst which blasties the prosecutions throug for conviction is analyse two assistance of counsel contrary to the Effective representation" Standard and violates the sweek amendment guarantee to the effective Consolid four: Taeffective assistance of pretrial standby trial counsel Exhibit D Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Active standby counsels mere Experimented testifying was substantial and injurious effect by infecting the jury Exercises creating a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the jury Exercises creating a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the jury Exercises creating a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the jury Exercises creating a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the jury Exercises creating a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the jury Exercises creating a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the jury Exercises creating a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the jury Exercises creating a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the jury Exercises of the feeting possible contributor to its ultimate issue. Exercises of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: By and D were not presented and because appellant counsel solvely apreated and his charact of factors of the feeting and analysis.	Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Counsels Sailotte to present its Strongest argument Exhabit B" of scientific enclones signed by two Sidetal DNA analyst which blasties the prosecutions throug for conviction is ineffective assistance of counsel contrary to the Effective representation" Standard and violates the sweek amendment guarantee to the effective Convince of pretrial standby trial coursel Exhabit D Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Active standby trial coursels mere Experiment testifying was sufficient to distoit the jurys fact flading process cerating a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the jury which the defective definition of an ultimate issue within his independent of any of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: By and D were not presented on Experial because appellant counsel solely appeared with his chares of defense strategy.	C. Ground three: Intiffective assertance of pretrial/stand	by treal coursel,
Strongest argument Eshibit B" of scientific evidence signed by two slideral DNA analyst which blostees the prosecctions throug for conviction is ineffective assistance of counsel contrary to the Effective representation" standard and violates the sweek amendment quarantee to the effective. 3. Ground four: Ineffective assistance of pretrial standby trial course be proporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Active standby counsels mere appearance testifying was sufficient to distort the jurys fact finding courses creating a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the jury with the defective definition of an ultimate issue within his independent of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: 18 C and D were not presented on a presented with his charce of defines stratigy.	Strongest argument Esheber B" of scentific evidence signed by two Sideral DNA analyst which blasters the prosecutions theory for conviction is ineffective assistance of counsel contrary to the effective representation" standard and violates the sweh amendment quarantee to the effective of Ground four: Ineffective assistance of pretried standby trial course of proporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Active standby counsels mere septemance testifying was sufficient to distort the jurys fact finding process creating a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the jury with the defective definition of an obtimate issue within his independent on a report which used the term possible contributor to its obtimate issue. any of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: B C and D were not presented on support because appellant coursel solely operated which his choice of defines strategy.	•	
description of countries the prosecutions through for connection is ineffective assistance of counsel contrary to the effective representation." Standard and violates the swek amendment guarantee to the effective assistance of pretrial / standby trial course. Comporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Active standby counsels mere expectatance testifying was sufficient to distoit the judy fact finding process creating a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the judy with the defective definition of an ultimate issue within his independent and respect which used the term possible contributor to its ultimate issue. The angular form of an ultimate issue within his independent and of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not presented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: By C and D were not presented on appearance of the charge of defense strategy.	description of an object of counsel contrary to the effective representation is ineffective assistance of counsel contrary to the effective representation." Standard and violates the swek amendment quarantee to the effective. D. Ground four: Ineffective assistance of pretrial standby trial counsel. Exhibit D. Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Active standby counsels mere expectatance testifying was sufficient to distoit the jurys fact finding process creating a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the jury with the defective definition of an ultimate issue within his independent of any of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: By and D were not presented on defense appellant counsel solely appeared described his charce of defense strategy.	Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Counsels He	acher to present its
inessective assistance of counsel contrary to the effective representation" standard and violates the such amendment guarantee to the effective. D. Ground four: Inessective assistance of pretrial / standby trial counsel exhibit D supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Active standby counsels mere appearance testisfying was sufficient to distoit the jury fact standing process creating a substantial and injurious effect by insecting the jury such the desective desention of an ultimate issue within his independent and respect which used the term possible contributor to its ultimate issue." I any of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: By and D were not presented on the charge of desense stratuay.	ineffective assistance of counsil contrary to the effective representation" standard and violates the sweh amendment guarantee to the effective of pertual / standby trial counsel while D supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): active standby counsels mere appearance testifying was sufficient to distoit the jury fact flading access creating a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the jury such the defective definition of an ultimate issue within his independent any of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: By and D were not presented and appearance of this choice of defense strategy.	strongest argument Exhibit B" of scientific evidence s	igned by two
Standard and violates the sweh amendment quarantee to the effective. D. Ground four: Ineffective assistance of pretriol / standby trial course of pretriol of standby trial course of pretriol of the present of standby counsels mere of appearance testifying was sufficient to distoit the pury fact finding a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the jury course of the defective definition of an obtimate issue within his independent of a report which we defective definition of an obtimate issue within his independent of any of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: By and D were not presented on defense appellant counsel solely appeared which his choice of defense strating.	Standard and violates the swell amendment quarantee to the effective. D. Ground four: Ineffective assistance of perticul standby trual course exhibit D Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): active standby counsels mere suppearance testifying was sufficient to distoit the jurys fact finding perocess creating a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the jury south the defective definition of an obtimate issue within his independent own report which weed the term possible contributor to its obtimate issue. If any of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: By and D were not presented because appellant counsel solely appeared durch his choice of defense strating.	lederal DNA analyst which blostres the prosecutions the	ory toe conviction is
Exhibit D Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): <u>active standby trual coursels mere</u> Experiments testifying was sufficient to distoit the jury fact finding process creating a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the jury with the defective definition of an ultimate issue within his independent only a sepact which will term possible contributor to its ultimate issue. I any of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: By and D were not presented and give your reasons for not presenting them: By and D were not presented and give your reasons for not presenting them: By and D were not presented on defense strategy.	Exhibit D Supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): <u>Active standby evansels mere</u> Experimence testisfying was sufficient to distoit the jury fact finding process creating a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the jury with the defective definition of an ultimate issue within his independent of any of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: B.C. and D. were not presented on the support of the state of the support of the s		
Exhibit D supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): <u>Active stancing counsels mere</u> supplearance testifying was sufficient to distoit the juils fact flading process creating a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the juil with the defective definition of an oltimate issue within his independent on a sepact which we different possible contributor to its oftenate issue. I any of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: B, C and D will not presented on suppral because appellant counsel solely appeared with his choice of defense strategy.	Exhibit D supporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): <u>Active standby counsels mere</u> supplearance testifying was sufficient to distoit the july fact finding process creating a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the jury with the defective definition of an obtimate issue within his independent own expact which used the term possible contributor to its ultimate issue. I any of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: B, C and D were not presented on suppeal because appellant counsel solely appeared which his charge of defense strategy.	standard and violates the sixth amendment quarantee to	the Effective
upporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): <u>Active standby counsels mere</u> presented testifying was sufficient to distort the jury fact finding process creating a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the jury with the defective definition of an ultimate issue within his independent who respect which were the term possible contributed to its ultimate issue. any of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: B, C and D were not presented on the presented of the choice of defense strategy.	upporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): <u>active standby counsels mere</u> presented testifying was sufficient to distoit the jurys fact finding process creating a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the jury with the defective definition of an ultimate issue within his independent who export which were term possible contributor to its ultimate issue. any of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: B,C and D were not presented on the presented of the state of the charge of the first state of the state o). Ground four: Inchective assistance of pretrial / stand	
Explanance testifying was sufficient to distort the july fact finding process creating a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the jury with the defective definition of an obtimate issue within his independent on a separt which week used the term possible contributor to its obtimate issue. any of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: By and D were not presented on appearance of the because appearance of defense strategy.	Explanance testifying was sufficient to distort the jurys fact finding process creating a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the jury with the defective definition of an obtimate issue within his independent on a sepact which were term possible contributor to its obtimate issue. any of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: BC and D were not presented on appeal because appellant counsel solely appearted week his choice of defense strategy.		Exhibit D
process creating a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the jury with the defective definition of an altimate issue within his independent with the defective definition of an altimate issue within his independent with the sepact which used the term possible contributor to its altimate issue. I any of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: 18 C and D were not presented on appearance of the second o	process creating a substantial and injurious effect by infecting the jury with the defective definition of an obtimate issue within his independent of a separate which were term possible contributor to its obtimate issue. any of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: B, C and D were not presented on appearance of the second	upporting facts (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Active Star	ndby counsels mere
with the defective definition of an obtimate issue within his independent on a separt which were definition of an obtimate issue within his independent on a separt which were the term possible contributor to its obtimate issue. I any of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: 13 C and D were not presented on appeal because appealant works a solely appeared when his choice of defense strategy.	with the defective definition of an obtimate issue within his independent on a separate which osed the term possible contributor to its obtimate issue. The any of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: B, C and D with not present an appeal because appealant counsel solely appeared with his choice of defense strategy.	ppearance testifying was sufficient to distoit the july	is fact finding
with the defective definition of an obtimate issue within his independent of the expect which were term possible contributor to its obtimate issue. The any of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: 13 C and D wite not presented on appeal because appealant works of solely appeared with his choice of defense strategy.	with the defective definition of an obtimate issue within his independent on a separate which osed the term possible contributor to its obtimate issue. The any of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: B, C and D with not present an appeal of the present of the present of the defense strategy.	mocess creating a substantial and injurious effect by	in Lecting the jury
any of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: BC and D were not presented an experience of because appellant wonsel solely appearted with his choice of defense strategy.	resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: BC and D were not presented, state briefly what grounds were not presented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: BC and D were not presented on appeal because appellant counsel solely appeared week his choice of defense strategy.	with the defective definition of an oltimate issue we	thin his independent
resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: Brand D were not presented on presented on presented on speak because appellant coursel solely appearted with his choice of defense strategy.	resented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: BC and D were not presented on populated with his choice of defense strategy.	WA report which used the term possible contributor to	its ultimate issue".
appeal becouse appellant coursel solely appeared with his choice of defense strategy.	appeal because appellant counsel solely appeared with his choice of defense strategy.	any of the grounds listed in 12 A, B, C and D were not previously presented, state	briefly what grounds were not
definse strategy.	definse strategy.		
defense strategy.	definse strategy.	speed because appellant coursel solely appeared wich	his choice of
o you have any petition or appeal now pending in any court as to the judgment under attack?	o you have any petition or appeal now pending in any court as to the judgment under attack?		
		~ /	attack?

CV-67 (02/05)

13.

14.

WHERE								br corney (if ar		***************************************
	FORE, m	ovant prays	that the court	grant him all	relief to	vhich he n	ay be enti	itled in this	s proceedi	ing.
ПΥ	es	□ No	NA							
(c) Have	e you filed ed in the fi	, or do you iture?	contemplate	filing, any pe	tition atta	cking the	udgment	which imp	osed sent	ence to b
(b) Give	the date		of sentence to							
(a) If so), give the		ocation of the							
☐ Yes	Ø No			tier you omp.		menec mi	,03 ca 0	ao juagano	THE WILLIAM W	
	•		nce to serve at	fter vou comp	lete the ce	ntence im	nsed hy t	he indome	nt under a	ttack?
approxi	mately the	same time	?	an or an majo	unom, or	ou more c	ian one u	diction,	m dro odd	no court c
	ou sentenc	ed on more	than one cou	nt of an indic	tment or	on more t	nan one ir	dictment	in the san	ne court a
(g) On	appeal fro	m any adve	rse ruling in a	post-conviction	on procee	ding:	/			
(f) In a	any post-co	onviction pr	oceeding:							
(e) On	ı appeal: _		John C	Ds good						
(d) At	sentencing	y:	John Os	agood	, 74 Li	0 NW	Blue Pa	izkwan ssouri G	50tt	. 30S
(c) At	trial:		Traves	Pondexto	<i>t</i> /	Stanc	by			
	arraignme	nt and plea	Traves	Poinder	<u> 101 </u>					***************************************
(b) At						KUMO	<u>64106</u>			

Clifton Taylor D.
21415045
8901 S Wilmot Road, Jucson Guzona 85706

United State District Court

Motion to vacate, set aside or correct sentence by a person in federal custody 28 U.S.C § 2255

CASE#

CV

CR 09-00112-01-cr-w-ods

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

PLAINTIFF

V

CLIFTON DAVID TAYLOR

2255 Surpages

- 1. Name and location of court which entered judgement of convition under attack: Western Dist. KeMo 400 East 9th Street Kema. Gillog
- 2. Date of judgment of conviction; 01-21-10
 3. Lenght of sentence signs for Sentencing judge: Ottal Smith
 4. Nature of offense for which you were convicted: Bank robbing
 5. What was your plea?
 - ✓ Not guilty Guilty Nolo Contendere
- 6. Kind of trial: July
- 7. Did you testify at the trial: 465
- 8. Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction: UES counsel appealed
- 9. Name of court & there USCA St Loves Ma.

Result Denued

Date of result 08-30-//

- 10. Their are no pending motions are applications
- 11.(e) no adverse actions were taken because all of my legal material was lost during transfer to another institution.
- 12.Grounds on which being unlawfully held:
- (f) conviction obtained by the unconstitutional failure of the prosecution to disclose to the defendant evidence favorable to the defendant.
 - (i) Denial of the effective assistance of counsel.
 - (1) Fundamental miscarriage of justice.
- A. Ground 1

abuse of descrition - failure to desclose- see Exhibit a

Supporting Facts: The trust court excel to allow the prosecution to use its selected videotope of tabacco store to support its through and to deny me requested sorveillance videotapes of the same store which were relevant and highly probative because the videos

were the only source of available material to establish a time of entry, departure and

B. Ground 2

Fundamental miscarrage of Justice - Sailvie to present evidence of actual innocence.

Supporting facts The pertual-standby treal counsel factors
to desclose 6xhiber B "cretical federal scientific evidence of
actual innocence" which states 1. May DNA ded not match the dra
tested from discarded clothing 2. multiple people scen one presan
throw the crems in a truck which ultimately was another profile, is a

C. Ground 3

Ineffective assistance of pretrial-standby trial counsel + appellant counsel Supporting facts

Counsels factive to present its strongest argument (e-hibit 13) of scientific evidence signed by two federal dna analyst which blasties the prosecutions throug for conviction is ineffective assistance of counsel, contrary to the "effective representation" standard a violates the 6th amendment quarantee to the effective—

D. Ground 4

Inellective assistance of pretecd-standing recal coursel

see 6-hebit D

Supporting Facts active counsels mete appearance restribung was sufficient to distort the jungs fact finding process creating a substantial and myurious effect by infecting the jung anch the "defective defenition" of a ultimate essue weekn his independent due report which used the term possible contributor to its ultimate essue"

- 13.B,C and D were not presented for appeal because the appellant counsel soley operated with his choice of defence strategy.
- 14. Their are no petition or appeals pending as to the judgment under attack.

15. Names and addresses of attorneys:

At preliminary hearing: Traves Poinderter

At trial: John Osgood

At arraignment and plea Trans Poinderter 818 Geand Grenve, Soute 300, Kemo G4106

On appeal John Osgood, 740 NW Blue Parkway Suite 305 Lee Summit Mo 64036 post conviction proceeding: None

On appeal on adverse ruling in a post proceeding: ADNA 16. only sentenced on one count 17. Their are no future sentences.

WHEREFORE, movant prays that the court grant him all relief in which he may be titled in this proceeding.

Pro SE Clother Tagh

I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct Executed on DEC 19th 2011 Pro St Affailer

Legal Mad

Federal Correctional Compli-8901 S. Milmot Road Tueson Leuzona 85706

Clerk of Court CLEDC THETEEN DEST. 400 East 9th Street Kassas Cay Missour E4006

