IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.	Case No. 08-00026-02-CR-W-FJG		
CYNTHIA M	ARTIN		
			AUSA: Rudolph Rhodes Defense Atty.: J.R. Hobbs
JUDGE	Sarah W. Hays United States Magistrate Judge	DATE AND TIME	February 20, 2008 3:10-3:15 p.m.
DEPUTY CLER	Dorothy Myers	Tape/Reporter	dm
Interpreter	None	PRETRIAL/PROB:	
	FIRST APPEARANCE INDIC	S MINUTES TMENT AND ARRA	IGNMENT
() Custod	ly assumed (x) Voluntarily S	Surrendered () Co	omplaint No.
	Date: 2/20/08		
	Place: K.C., MO		
 That he may be Of his/obtain Of his/ 	rised: charge; e/she is not required to make any se used against him/her in Court; ther right to retain counsel and to recounsel; and ther right to bail or, if no bail is set risk or a danger to persons or the course.	equest assignment of co	ounsel if he/she is unable to
BAIL			
 (x) Bond Set: Personal Recognizance (x) Defendant advised the conditions of release () Bond & conditions of release continue as set out on () Defendant remanded to the custody of the U.S. Marshal until conditions of bond are met 			

Defendant remanded to the custody of the U.S. Marshal pursuant to a Detention Order

entered on

COUNSEL

- () On, defendant was sworn and examined as to his/her financial ability to employ counsel and information recorded on Affidavit of Financial Status. was appointed
- (x) Defendant retained J.R. Hobbs

ARRAIGNMENT

- (x) Defendant charged in Count(s) 1,2 21-24 of a 24 Count indictment
- (x) Defendant waived reading of the indictment.
- () The count(s) of the indictment applicable to the defendant was read to the defendant.
- (x) Defendant was informed of the maximum punishment for each applicable count of the indictment.
- (x) Defendant entered a plea of not guilty to each count of the indictment applicable to him/her.

ORDERS

- () Defendant ordered committed back to custody of U.S. Marshal.
- (x) Defendant ordered released on a continuing bail bond.
- (x) Case ordered set for trial on the joint criminal jury trial docket which commences March 17, 2008.
- (x) Scheduling conference set for February 28, 2008 at 11:00 a.m.
- (x) Discovery Order handed to all counsel.
- () Defense shall file any pretrial motions within ten days from this date; government responses due seven days after motion filed. In lieu of a scheduling conference, counsel are to meet within two weeks and submit Proposed Stipulations and Orders to chambers for filing. If parties will not be ready for trial by the scheduled docket, a motion for continuance for a date certain should be filed as soon as possible.
- () All stipulations, motions, responses, Reports and Recommendations and orders apply to the superseding indictment.
- () The Government is reminded that ECF calculates deadlines under civil rules and those deadlines do not apply to this case. Failure to file a response within 7 days of the filing of the motion, regardless of when ECF says a response is due, may result in the motion being granted as unopposed.

Document re-posted on this site www.juris99.com/texas by Osgood Law Office, John Osgood Kansas City Area Criminal Defense Attorney. www.juris99.com www.lexrixa.com White Collar, drug, capital murder, and other serious felony cases. These web sites are intended to be advertisement of services. The choice of a lawyer is an important decision and should not be based solely on advertisements.