

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

Case No. 10-00162-17-CR-W-FJG

ANDREYA JONES

AUSA: Brent Venneman  
Defense Atty.: Nickalaus Seacord, CJA

JUDGE	<b>Robert E. Larsen</b> United States Magistrate Judge	DATE AND TIME	<b>November 10, 2010</b> 1:32 - 1:44 p.m.
DEPUTY CLERK	Joella Baldwin	TAPE/REPORTER	FTR - J. Baldwin
INTERPRETER		PRETRIAL/PROB:	Nick Zych

**CLERK'S MINUTES**

**DETENTION/ARRAIGNMENT**

DETENTION HEARING: Parties appear in person and with counsel ready to proceed on government's motion for pretrial detention.

- ( X ) Parties stipulate to factual contents of Pretrial Services Report as being the direct testimony of Pretrial Services Officer.
- ( x ) The Court takes judicial notice of the statutory presumption against release.
- ( x ) Government presents evidence and calls witness(es) Detective Don Stanze. Direct examinations conducted. No cross.
- (X) Argument.
- (X) Defendant makes a proffer. The Court accepts defendant's proffer w/no objection by the Government.

Based upon the information presented, the Court grants government's motion to detain and finds the following:

- (X ) The Court found reason to believe that no condition or combination of conditions of release would reasonably assure:
  - ( x ) The safety of any other person or persons and the community.

- ( x ) Written Detention Order to be forthcoming.
- ( x ) Defendant remanded to the custody of the U.S. Marshal.

### ARRAIGNMENT

- ( x ) Defendant charged in count 1 of a 17-Count indictment.
- ( x ) Defendant waived reading of the indictment. Court summarizes charges.
- ( x ) Defendant was informed of the maximum punishment for each applicable count of the indictment.
- ( x ) Defendant entered a plea of not guilty to each count of the indictment applicable to him/her.

### ORDERS

- ( x ) Defendant ordered committed back to custody of U.S. Marshal.
- ( x ) Court confirms current trial setting of 2/14/2011.
- ( x ) Defense shall file any pretrial motions within ten days from this date; government responses due seven days after motion filed. In lieu of a scheduling conference, counsel are to meet within two weeks and submit Proposed Stipulations and Orders to chambers for filing. If parties will not be ready for trial by the scheduled docket, a motion for continuance for a date certain should be filed as soon as possible.
- ( x ) The Government is reminded that ECF calculates deadlines under civil rules and those deadlines do not apply to this case. Failure to file a response within 7 days of the filing of the motion, regardless of when ECF says a response is due, may result in the motion being granted as unopposed.