IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI WESTERN DIVISION

)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff

Defendant

v.

NaRicco T. Scott

case no. 10-00162-01/23-CR-W-FJ6

MOTION FOR MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT

BILL OF PARTICULARS

Comes the Defendant, NaRicco T. Scott, pro se, in accordance with Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 7 (f) and move this court to order attorney for the governor, Brent Venneman, to provide defendant with a more definite statement of the charged allegations against him in the form of a Bill of Particulars. The defendant complains of defects that inculpatory (as well as prejudicial) not included as essential elements within charging indictment was testified to before the Grand Jury, see U.S. v. Davis, 244 F. 3d 666, {C.A. 8 (Iowa) 2001} (The district court acknowledged that the DNA evidence was "very convincing" that the defendants robbed the bank as charged in the indictment, but noted that it had a firm duty to make sure the system worked fairly and that defendants had the right to fully confront and evaluate the evidence that will be used against them in a timely fashion). In the case of U.S. v. NaRicco T. Scott, by Detective Mike Miller and Det. Stanze of the Kansas City, Missouri Police Department.

This defect violated defendants' Fifth Amendment rights to due process, Sixth Amendment rights to be informed of the evidence against him, and to confront the witnesses, Fourteenth Amendment right to due process and equal protection of the law. This procedure within itself is fundamentally unfair as the evidence was also testified to at defendants' suppression of evidence hearing by the State's witnesses. Bank of Nova Scotia v. U.S., 487 U.S. 250, 254-57, 108 S. ct. 2369, 2373-75, 107 L.Ed 2d 228 (1988).

Defendant desire that a more definite statement will provide details of all elemental discovery sworn and testified to before the grand jury May 13, 2010, providing him with an opportunity to better defend himself. Defendant also ask this court to exercise authority under Rule 7(f) of Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and grant this motion in light of the circumstances that he has recently been granted leave to pro se in this case, and to grant this motion will serve the best interest of the public ascertaining that fair justice is adjudicated in form of a speedy and efficient trial being effected.

Certificate of Service

In accordance with Rule 49(A), (b), and (d), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and Rule 5(b) of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, it is hereby presented that one copy of the foregoing motion was filed and sent to Brent Venneman, Assistant U.S. Attorney at 400 East Ninth Street, Kansas City, Missouri, 64106, this date <u>31</u>, <u>May</u>, 2011.

Respectfully Submitted,

NaRicco T. Scott, pro se 100 Highway Terrace (22266045) Leavenworth, Kansas 66048