IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.		Cas	se No. 10-00162-024	-CR-W-FJG	
TERR	RANCE BLI	EWETT			
		·	D	AUSA: Brent Venneman efense Atty.: David Guastello	
JUDGE		Sarah W. Hays United States Magistrate Judge	DATE AND TIME	June 15, 2011 10:20 - 10:30 AM	
DEPUTY CLERK		Lori Carr	Tape/Reporter	FTR/lac	
INTERPRETER		None	Pretrial/Prob:	Tanis Humig	
(x)	Parties stipulate to factual contents of Pretrial Services Report as being the direct testimony of Pretrial Services Officer. () With exception(s)				
()	Governme	ent presents evidence and calls		<u>.</u>	
()	Defendant presents evidence and calls witness(es)				
() (x) (x) (x)	Argument Defendant Court take Other:	arty presents evidence. as presented. t makes a proffer. es judicial notice of the statutor			
()	The Gove	rnment orally moves to withdra	w detention motion -	GRANTED by the Court.	

Based upon the information presented, the Court grants government's motion to detain and finds the following:

()	release would reasonably assure:				
	()	The appearance of the defendant			
	(x)	The safety of any other person or persons and the community.			
		Defendant ordered DETAINED without bail.			
	(x)	Written Detention Order to be forthcoming.			
	(x)	Defendant remanded to the custody of the U.S. Marshal.			
()	The Court made a finding that the defendant should be released from detention. Government's motion for pretrial detention is denied.				
	()	Defendant released on a personal recognizance bond.			
	()	Defendant released on a \$unsecured surety bond.			
	()	Defendant released on a \$ cash or security bond.			
	()	Defendant remanded to the custody of the U.S. Marshal until conditions are met			
	()	Defendant appears on a writ and is not eligible for bond at this time.			

The Court found reason to believe that no condition or combination of conditions of

<u>ARRAIGNMENT</u>

(x)

- (x) Defendant charged in Count 1 of a 17 count second superseding indictment
- (x) Defendant waived reading of the second superseding indictment.
- (x) Defendant was informed of the maximum punishment for each applicable count of the second superseding indictment.
- (x) Defendant entered a plea of not guilty to each count of the second superseding indictment applicable to him.

ORDERS

- (x) Defendant ordered committed back to custody of U.S. Marshal
- (x) Case ordered set for trial on the joint criminal jury trial docket which commences August 1, 2011.
- (x) Defense shall file any pretrial motions within ten days from this date; government responses due seven days after motion filed. In lieu of a scheduling conference, counsel are to meet within two weeks and submit Proposed Stipulations and Orders to chambers for filing. If parties will not be ready for trial by the scheduled docket, a motion for continuance for a date certain should be filed as soon as possible.
- (x) All stipulations, motions, responses, Reports and Recommendations and orders apply to the superseding indictment.
- (x) The Government is reminded that ECF calculates deadlines under civil rules and those deadlines do not apply to this case. Failure to file a response within 7 days of the filing of the motion, regardless of when ECF says a response is due, may result in the motion being granted as unopposed.